



# California Regulatory Notice Register

REGISTER 2026, NUMBER 15-Z

PUBLISHED WEEKLY BY THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW

APRIL 10, 2026

## PROPOSED ACTION ON REGULATIONS

### TITLE 16. BOARD OF PHARMACY

*Pharmacy Technician Certification Programs — Notice File Number Z2026-0327-05 . . . . . 517*

### TITLE 16. BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY

*Disciplinary Guidelines and Uniform Standards Related to Substance-Abusing Licensees — Notice File Number Z2026-0327-04 . . . . . 520*

### TITLE 16. BOARD OF OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY

*Fees — Notice File Number Z2026-0327-06 . . . . . 523*

### TITLE 22. DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

*Tuberculosis Assessment in Children’s Residential Facilities — Notice File Number Z2026-0327-02. . . . . 526*

### TITLE 22. EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES AUTHORITY

*Scope of Practice for Emergency Medical Technicians Concerning Medications — Notice File Number Z2026-0327-03 . . . . . 528*

## GENERAL PUBLIC INTEREST

### DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE

*HUM 254 Culvert Rehabilitation Project PMs 0.0-43.0, 2080-2026-006-01, Humboldt County . . . . . 530*

### DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE

*Sheephouse Creek Bank Failure Assessment & Design Project, 1653-2026-180-001-R3, Sonoma County . . . . . 531*

## RULEMAKING PETITION DECISIONS

### DEPARTMENT OF CANNABIS CONTROL

*Decision on Petition from Ben Greens, concerning Annual License Fee for Small Farms . . . . . 533*

(Continued on next page)

***Time-  
Dated  
Material***

DEPARTMENT OF CANNABIS CONTROL  
*Decision on Petition from Caren Woodson, concerning Requirement for Mandatory Retesting of Cannabis and Cannabis Products.* . . . . . 533

DEPARTMENT OF CANNABIS CONTROL  
*Decision on Petition from Caren Woodson, concerning Changes to Individual Units within a Package in the Track and Trace System* . . . . . 534

DEPARTMENT OF CANNABIS CONTROL  
*Decision on Petition from Caren Woodson, concerning Exemption from Certification Requirement.* . . . . . 534

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION  
*Decision on Petition from Stephen Menchaca, concerning Failure to Comply with the APA.* . . . . . 535

**SUMMARY OF REGULATORY ACTIONS**  
Regulations filed with Secretary of State . . . . . 537

**CCR CHANGES FILED WITH THE SECRETARY OF STATE**  
Sections Filed January 1, 2026, to March 31, 2026 . . . . . 540

---

The *California Regulatory Notice Register* is an official state publication of the Office of Administrative Law containing notices of proposed regulatory actions by state regulatory agencies to adopt, amend or repeal regulations contained in the California Code of Regulations. The effective period of a notice of proposed regulatory action by a state agency in the *California Regulatory Notice Register* shall not exceed one year [Government Code § 11346.4(b)]. It is suggested, therefore, that issues of the *California Regulatory Notice Register* be retained for a minimum of 18 months.

CALIFORNIA REGULATORY NOTICE REGISTER is published weekly by the Office of Administrative Law, 300 Capitol Mall, Suite 1250, Sacramento, CA 95814-4339. The Register is printed by Barclays, a subsidiary of West, a Thomson Reuters Business, and is offered by subscription for \$409.00 (annual price). To order or make changes to current subscriptions, please call (800) 328-4880. The Register can also be accessed at <https://oal.ca.gov>.

**PROPOSED ACTION ON REGULATIONS**

*Information contained in this document is published as received from agencies and is not edited by Thomson Reuters.*

**TITLE 16. BOARD OF PHARMACY**

**PHARMACY TECHNICIAN CERTIFICATION PROGRAMS**

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN** that the California State Board of Pharmacy (Board) proposes taking the rulemaking action described below under the heading Informative Digest/Policy Statement Overview.

**PUBLIC HEARING**

The Board has not scheduled a public hearing on this proposed action. However, the Board will hold a hearing if it receives a written request for a public hearing from any interested person, or that person’s authorized representative, no later than 15 days prior to the close of the written comment period. A hearing may be requested by making such request in writing addressed to the individuals listed under “Contact Persons” in this notice.

**WRITTEN COMMENT PERIOD**

Written comments relevant to the action proposed, including those sent by mail, facsimile, or email to the addresses listed under “Contact Person” in this Notice, must be received by the Board at its office no later than Tuesday May 26, 2026, or must be received by the Board at the hearing, should one be scheduled.

*Authority and Reference:* Pursuant to the authority vested by Business and Professions Code (BPC) sections 4005 and 4202, the Board proposes amending section 1793.65 of Division 17 of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations (CCR).

**INFORMATIVE DIGEST/POLICY STATEMENT OVERVIEW**

The Board is a state agency vested with the authority to regulate the pharmacy industry, including pharmacies, pharmacists, and pharmacy technicians (BPC section 4000, et seq.). The Board’s mandate and mission are to protect the public (BPC section 4001.1).

Existing law at BPC section 4202 establishes the requirements for obtaining a pharmacy technician license and includes four pathways to licensure. One of these pathways is certification by a pharmacy technician certifying organization offering a pharmacy technician certification program accredited by the National Commission for Certifying Agencies that is approved by the Board.

Existing regulation at CCR section 1793.65 specifies that the pharmacy technician certification programs approved by the Board are the Pharmacy Technician Certification Board (PTCB) and the National Healthcare Association, and establishes a June 30, 2026 sunset date for these program approvals.

BPC section 139 requires the Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA) to develop a policy regarding examination development and validation, and occupational analysis. The section further requires that every board within DCA have a method for ensuring that every licensing examination administered by or pursuant to contract with the board is subject to periodic evaluation, which must include:

1. A description of the occupational analysis serving as the basis for the examination;
2. Sufficient item analysis data to permit a psychometric evaluation of the items;
3. An assessment of the appropriateness of prerequisites for admittance to the examination; and
4. An estimate of the costs and personnel required to perform these functions.

The DCA Licensure Examination Validation Policy (which has been established to meet the mandate of BPC section 139) provides in part that, generally, an occupational analysis and examination outline should be updated every five years to be considered current.

Statutory changes, effective January 1, 2017, updated the provisions for authorized pharmacy technician certification programs by expanding authorization to programs accredited by the National Commission for Certifying Agencies. (Prior provisions of the law limited the provisions to certification by the Pharmacy Technician Certification Board.) In response to the change, the Board promulgated regulations to identify the Board–approved programs. Although the Board initiated the rulemaking in 2017, for a variety of reasons, the regulation did not become effective until January 1, 2023 with a sunset date of December 31, 2024.

The Board contracted with the DCA Office of Professional Examination Services (OPES) to conduct evaluation of the two pharmacy technician certification programs to ensure compliance with the provisions of BPC section 139. While the work to conduct the evaluations was underway, it was anticipated that the evaluation results would not be available until Fall 2024. Given this anticipated timing, the Board deter-

mined that it was appropriate to extend the current 2024 sunset date of the program approvals to ensure this pathway to licensure remains in place until June 30, 2026. In 2024, the Board pursued a rulemaking to amend CCR section 1793.65 to extend the program sunset date from December 31, 2024, to June 30, 2026 (18 months) to allow sufficient time for OPES evaluations to be conducted, consideration of the results by the Board, and completion of a subsequent rulemaking. Due to the recent changes in authorized functions of pharmacy technicians, efforts undertaken by OPES were delayed and the necessary work by OPES and the Board (to consider audit findings and pursue regulatory change) will therefore not be complete before the current sunset date.

This proposal will amend CCR section 1793.65 to extend the current program sunset date from June 30, 2026 to June 30, 2027 (12 months) to allow sufficient time for OPES' compliance evaluations to be conducted, consideration of the results by the Board, and completion of a subsequent rulemaking. Extending the current sunset date will ensure that the current approved pharmacy technician certification programs remain a valid pathway to licensure for pharmacy technician applicants during that process.

***Anticipated Benefits of Proposal***

Protection of the public is the Board's highest priority in exercising its licensing, regulatory, and disciplinary functions. The Board has determined that this regulatory proposal will have the following benefits to the health and welfare of California residents.

This proposal extends the current June 30, 2026 sunset date by 12 months (to June 30, 2027) to allow sufficient time for OPES evaluations to be conducted, consideration of the results by the Board, and completion of a subsequent rulemaking. Extending the current sunset date will ensure that the two certification programs continue to be pathways to licensure for pharmacy technician applicants. Maintaining this licensure pathway allows pharmacy technician applicants the flexibility to determine which of the two programs is best for them. The benefit to the consumers of California is that their health and welfare may be better served by an increased number of pharmacy technicians in the industry. When there is an increase in the number of licensed pharmacy technicians, each pharmacy can hire the staff necessary to meet consumers' needs.

This regulatory proposal does not affect worker safety or the state's environment.

***Evaluation of Consistency and Compatibility with Existing State Regulations***

During the process of developing this regulatory proposal, the Board conducted a search of any similar regulations on this topic and concluded that these reg-

ulations are neither inconsistent nor incompatible with existing state regulations.

**DISCLOSURES REGARDING THIS  
PROPOSED ACTION**

**FISCAL IMPACT ESTIMATES**

***Fiscal Impact on Public Agencies Including Costs/Savings to State Agencies or Costs/Savings in Federal Funding to the State:*** The regulations do not result in a fiscal impact to the state. The Board already accepts these two certification programs, and this proposal allows the Board to continue to do so while the program evaluation is completed.

The regulations do not result in a fiscal impact to the state in the form of federal funding or any cost or savings to any state agency.

***Nondiscretionary Costs/Savings to Local Agencies:*** None.

***Cost to any Local Agency or School District for which Government Code Sections 17500–17630 Require Reimbursement:*** None.

***Mandate Imposed on Local Agencies or School Districts:*** None.

***Significant Effect on Housing Costs:*** None.

***Business Impact Estimates:***

The Board has made an initial determination that the proposed regulatory action would have no significant statewide adverse economic impact directly affecting business, including the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states.

The proposed amendment extends the current June 30, 2026 sunset date by 12 months (to June 30, 2027). This extension may result in continued revenue for the certification programs, as pharmacy technician applicants will be able to continue completing the programs. This is a benefit to the programs and not an adverse effect.

***Cost Impact on Representative Private Person or Business:***

The Board is not aware of any negative cost impacts that a representative private person or business would necessarily incur in reasonable compliance with the proposed action.

**RESULTS OF ECONOMIC IMPACT  
ASSESSMENT/ANALYSIS**

***Impact on Jobs/New Businesses:***

The Board has determined that this regulatory proposal will not:

- (1) create jobs within California;
- (2) eliminate jobs within California;

- (3) create new businesses within California;
- (4) eliminate existing businesses within California; and,
- (5) expand businesses currently doing business in the State of California.

The Board determined that this proposal will not create or eliminate jobs or businesses. The proposed amendment extends the current June 30, 2026 sunset date by 12 months (to June 30, 2027). The benefit to the consumers of California is that their health and welfare may be better served by an increased number of pharmacy technicians in the industry. When there is an increase in the number of licensed pharmacy technicians, each pharmacy can hire the staff necessary to meet consumers’ needs.

This regulatory proposal does not affect worker safety or the state’s environment.

***Business Reporting Requirements***

This regulatory proposal does not require businesses to file a report with the Board.

***Effect on Small Business:***

The Board has determined that the proposed regulatory action will not affect small business, as defined in Government Code section 11342.610. The two certification programs approved by the Board are not small businesses.

CONSIDERATION OF ALTERNATIVES

In accordance with Government Code section 11346.5(a)(13), the Board must determine that no reasonable alternative it considered to the regulation, or that has otherwise been identified and brought to its attention, would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed, as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposal described in this Notice, or more cost-effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provision of law.

Any interested person may submit comments—relevant to the above determinations—in writing, at the address listed below for the *Contact Persons*, during the written comment period, or at the hearing if one is scheduled or requested.

AVAILABILITY OF INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS AND RULEMAKING FILE

The Board has compiled a record for this regulatory action, which includes the Initial Statement of Reasons (ISOR), proposed regulatory text, and all the information upon which the proposal is based. This material is contained in the rulemaking file and is avail-

able for public inspection upon request to the contact persons named in this notice.

TEXT OF PROPOSAL

Copies of the exact language of the proposed regulations, the Initial Statement of Reasons, and all of the information upon which the proposal is based, may be obtained upon request from the Board of Pharmacy at 2720 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 100, Sacramento, California 95833, or from the Board of Pharmacy’s website at [http://www.pharmacy.ca.gov/laws\\_regs/pending\\_regs.shtml](http://www.pharmacy.ca.gov/laws_regs/pending_regs.shtml).

AVAILABILITY OF CHANGED OR MODIFIED TEXT

After considering all timely and relevant comments, the Board, upon its own motion or at the request of any interested party, may thereafter adopt the proposals substantially as described below or may modify such proposals if such modifications are sufficiently related to the original text. With the exception of technical or grammatical changes, the full text of any modified proposal, with the modifications clearly indicated, will be available for review and written comment for 15 days prior to its adoption from the persons designated in this Notice as the Contact Persons and will be mailed to those persons who submit written comments or oral testimony related to this proposal or who have requested notification of any changes to the proposal.

AVAILABILITY AND LOCATION OF THE FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS AND RULEMAKING FILE

All the information upon which the proposed regulations are based is contained in the rulemaking file, which is available for public inspection by contacting the person named below.

You may obtain a copy of the Final Statement of Reasons, once it has been prepared, by making a written request to the Contact Person named below or by accessing the website listed below.

***Contact Persons***

Inquiries or comments concerning the proposed rulemaking action may be addressed to:

Name: Debbie Damoth  
 Address: Board of Pharmacy  
 2720 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 100  
 Sacramento, CA 95833  
 Phone Number: (916) 518–3100  
 Fax Number: (916) 574–8618  
 Email Address:  
[PharmacyRulemaking@dca.ca.gov](mailto:PharmacyRulemaking@dca.ca.gov)

The backup contact person is:

Name: Julie Ansel  
Address: Board of Pharmacy  
2720 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 100  
Sacramento, CA 95833  
Phone Number: (916) 518–3100  
Fax Number: (916) 574–8618  
Email Address:  
[PharmacyRulemaking@dca.ca.gov](mailto:PharmacyRulemaking@dca.ca.gov)

#### AVAILABILITY OF DOCUMENTS ON THE INTERNET

Copies of the Notice of Proposed Action, the Initial Statement of Reasons, and the text of the regulations with modifications noted, as well as the Final Statement of Reasons when completed, and modified text, if any, can be accessed through the Board of Pharmacy’s website at: [https://www.pharmacy.ca.gov/laws\\_regs/pending\\_regs.shtml](https://www.pharmacy.ca.gov/laws_regs/pending_regs.shtml).

### TITLE 16. BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY

#### DISCIPLINARY GUIDELINES AND UNIFORM STANDARDS RELATED TO SUBSTANCE–ABUSING LICENSEES

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN** that the Board of Psychology (hereafter “Board”) is proposing to take the action described in the Informative Digest below, after considering all comments, objections, and recommendations regarding the proposed action.

#### PUBLIC HEARING

The Board has not scheduled a public hearing on this proposed action. However, the Board will hold a hearing if it receives a written request for a public hearing from any interested person, or his or her authorized representative, no later than 15 days prior to the close of the written comment period. A hearing may be requested by making such request in writing addressed to the individuals listed under “Contact Person” in this notice.

#### WRITTEN COMMENT PERIOD

Written comments relevant to the action proposed, including those sent by mail, facsimile, or email to the addresses listed under “Contact Person” in this Notice, must be **received by the Board at its office no later May 26, 2026** or must be received by the Board at the hearing, should one be scheduled.

#### AUTHORITY AND REFERENCE

Pursuant to the authority vested by section 2930 of the Business and Professions Code (BPC), and to implement, interpret, or make specific BPC Section(s) 315, 315.2, 315.4, 2936, 2960, 2960.05, 2960.1, 2960.5, 2960.6, 2961, 2962, 2963, 2964, 2964.3, 2964.5, 2964.6, 2965, 2966, 2969 and Sections 11425.50(e) and 11519 of the Government Code, the Board of Psychology is considering amending section 1395.2 of Division 13.1 of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations (CCR).

#### INFORMATIVE DIGEST/POLICY STATEMENT OVERVIEW

This regulatory proposal will allow the Board to address the substance–abuse issue within the psychology profession. In this regulatory proposal the Board will amend section 1395.2 of Division 13.1 of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations to update the Disciplinary Guidelines which are incorporated by reference as the “Disciplinary Guidelines and Uniform Standards Related to Substance–Abusing Licensees.” In addition, the process will allow the Board to utilize more current methods for standards of discipline and disciplinary actions.

A brief summary of proposed changes include:

- A change from the previous revision date of 4/15 to the latest revision date of “11/2025.”
- Adds the words “Model Disciplinary Orders” to Subsection (a) of the amended section 1395.2 of Article 7 of Division 13.1 of Title 16 of the CCR.
- Updates the authority and reference citations to include a new statute.
- Adds “Model Disciplinary Orders” to the title of the Disciplinary Guidelines incorporated by reference.
- Revisions to the amended date.
- Updates to the Table of Contents.
- Revisions to Section I. Introduction.
- Revisions to Section II. Disciplinary Guidelines.
- Revisions to Section III. Terms and Conditions of Probation.
- Revisions to Section IV. Proposed Decisions.
- Revisions to Section V. Rehabilitation Criteria for Reinstatement/Penalty, Relief title and Subsections.
- Revisions to Section VI. Uniform Standards for Substance–Abusing Licenses title and Subsections.
- Adds a new Section “VII. Spectrum of Administrative Action”.

**Anticipated Benefits of Proposal**

The Board has determined that this regulatory proposal will benefit the health and welfare of California residents because it provides the mechanism to protect consumers by providing standards for the consistent application and enforcement of the laws and regulations under the Board’s jurisdiction. This proposal is also anticipated to benefit Administrative Law Judges (ALJs), Deputy Attorneys General (DAGs), and others involved in the disciplinary process by providing updated guidelines to reference when imposing disciplinary action against licensees and applicants. This regulatory proposal does not affect worker safety or the state’s environment.

**Evaluation of Consistency and Compatibility with Existing State Regulations**

During the process of developing these regulations and amendments, the Board has conducted a search for any similar regulations on this topic and has concluded that these regulations are neither inconsistent nor incompatible with existing state regulations.

INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE

This proposal would incorporate by reference the documents entitled “Disciplinary Guidelines, Model Disciplinary Orders, and Uniform Standards Related to Substance–Abusing Licensees, rev 11/2025.” The Disciplinary Guidelines are incorporated by reference as they are too lengthy and cumbersome to be included in the CCR.

FISCAL IMPACT ESTIMATES

**Fiscal Impact on Public Agencies Including Costs or Savings to State Agencies or Costs/Savings in Federal Funding to the State:** None. The proposed regulations do not result in a fiscal impact on the state.

This proposal provides a more accurate overview of the Board’s processes in formal disciplinary actions, which will provide greater clarity to licensees, consumers, the Board, the Office of Attorney General, and ALJs by outlining relevant and transparent standards directly related to violations outlined in law.

The Board does not anticipate additional workload or costs resulting from the proposed regulations. This proposal does not change the fines for violations, so no additional revenues are anticipated.

The regulations do not result in costs or savings in federal funding to the state.

**Nondiscretionary Costs/Savings to Local Agencies:** None.

**Cost to any Local Agency or School District for which Government Code Sections 17500–17630 Require Reimbursement:** None.

**Mandate Imposed on Local Agencies or School Districts:** None.

**Significant Effect on Housing Costs (and, if applicable, including any estimated costs of compliance or potential benefits of a building standard):** None.

BUSINESS IMPACT ESTIMATES

The Board has made the initial determination that the proposed regulatory action would have no significant statewide adverse economic impact directly affecting business, including the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states.

The following studies/relevant data were relied upon in making the above determination:

The proposed regulatory action only impacts licensees and applicants who are disciplined by the Board for violations of the laws and regulations within its jurisdiction. The Board does not have the authority to take administrative action against a business. The Board currently regulates approximately 22,000 licensees and registrants.

The proposed regulatory action only affects a negligible number of licensees and registrants subject to disciplinary action for violations of the laws and regulations within the Board’s jurisdiction. Any “adverse economic impact” would only occur as the result of a disciplinary order following a formal administrative proceeding and a finding of fact affirming a violation of the laws and/or regulations within the Board’s jurisdiction. Any potential “adverse economic impact” may be avoided simply by complying with the existing laws and regulations governing the practice of psychology in California.

**Cost Impact on Representative Private Person or Business**

The Board is not aware of any cost impacts that a representative private person or business would necessarily incur in reasonable compliance with the proposed action.

The proposed regulatory action only affects a negligible number of licensees and registrants subject to disciplinary action for violations of the laws and regulations within the Board’s jurisdiction. Any “adverse economic impact” would only occur as the result of a disciplinary order following a formal administrative proceeding and a finding of fact affirming a violation of the laws and/or regulations within the Board’s jurisdiction. Any potential “adverse economic impact” may be avoided simply by complying with the existing laws and regulations governing the practice of psychology in California.

**RESULTS OF ECONOMIC IMPACT  
ASSESSMENT/ANALYSIS**

**Impact on Jobs/Businesses**

The Board has determined that this regulatory proposal will not have any impact on the creation of jobs or new businesses, the elimination of jobs or existing businesses, or the expansion of businesses in the State of California.

The proposed regulatory action only impacts licensees and applicants who are disciplined by the Board for violations of the laws and regulations within its jurisdiction. The Board does not have the authority to take administrative action against a business. The Board currently regulates approximately 22,000 licensees and registrants.

The proposed regulatory action only affects a negligible number of licensees and registrants subject to disciplinary action for violations of the laws and regulations within the Board's jurisdiction. Any "adverse economic impact" would only occur as the result of a disciplinary order following a formal administrative proceeding and a finding of fact affirming a violation of the laws and/or regulations within the Board's jurisdiction. Any potential "adverse economic impact" may be avoided simply by complying with the existing laws and regulations governing the practice of psychology in California.

**Benefits of Regulation**

The Board has determined that this regulatory proposal will benefit the health and welfare of California residents because it provides the mechanism to protect consumers by providing standards for the consistent application and enforcement of the laws and regulations under the Board's jurisdiction. This proposal is also anticipated to benefit Administrative Law Judges (ALJs), Deputy Attorney Generals (DAGs), and others involved in the disciplinary process by providing updated guidelines to reference when imposing disciplinary action against licensees and applicants.

This regulatory proposal does not affect worker safety or the state's environment as this proposal is not related to any of those issues.

**Business Reporting Requirements**

The regulatory action does not require businesses to file a report with the Board.

**Effect on Small Business**

The Board has determined that the proposed regulation would not affect small businesses as it only affects licensees and applicants who are disciplined for violations of current law and/or Board regulations. Businesses operated by licensees and applicants who are in compliance with the law will not incur any economic impact. The Board does not maintain data relating to the number or percentage of licensees who own a

small business; therefore, the number or percentage of small businesses that may be impacted cannot be predicted.

**CONSIDERATION OF ALTERNATIVES**

In accordance with Government Code section 11346.5, subdivision (a)(13), the Board must determine that no reasonable alternative it considered to the regulation or that has otherwise been identified and brought to its attention would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed; would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposal described in this Notice; or would be more cost-effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provision of law.

Any interested person may submit comments to the Board in writing relevant to the above determinations at 1625 North Market Boulevard, Suite N-215, Sacramento, CA 95834 during the written comment period, or at the hearing if one is scheduled or requested.

**AVAILABILITY OF STATEMENT OF  
REASONS AND RULEMAKING FILE**

The Board has compiled a record for this regulatory action, which includes the Initial Statement of Reasons, proposed regulatory text, and all the information on which this proposal is based. This material is contained in the rulemaking file and is available for public inspection upon request to the contact persons named in this notice.

**TEXT OF PROPOSAL**

Copies of the exact language of the proposed regulations, any document incorporated by reference, the initial statement of reasons, and all of the information upon which the proposal is based, may be obtained upon request from the Board of Psychology, at 1625 North Market Boulevard, Suite N-215, Sacramento, CA 95834.

**AVAILABILITY OF  
CHANGED OR MODIFIED TEXT**

After considering all timely and relevant comments, the Board, upon its own motion or at the request of any interested party, may thereafter adopt the proposals substantially as described below or may modify such proposals if such modifications are sufficiently related to the original text. With the exception of technical or grammatical changes, the full text of any modified proposal, with the modifications clearly indicated, will be available for review and written comment for 15 days prior to its adoption from the persons desig-

nated in this Notice as the Contact Persons and will be mailed to those persons who submit written comments or oral testimony related to this proposal or who have requested notification of any changes to the proposal.

AVAILABILITY AND LOCATION OF  
THE FINAL STATEMENT OF  
REASONS AND RULEMAKING FILE

All the information upon which the proposed regulations are based is contained in the rulemaking file which is available for public inspection by contacting the person named below.

You may obtain a copy of the Final Statement of Reasons once it has been prepared by making a written request to the Contact Person named below or by accessing the website listed below.

CONTACT PERSONS

Inquiries or comments concerning the proposed rulemaking action may be addressed to:

Name: Cynthia Whitney  
Address: Board of Psychology  
1625 North Market Boulevard, Suite N–215,  
Sacramento, CA 95834  
Telephone Number: (916) 905–5408  
Fax Number: (916) 574–8671  
Email Address: [cynthia.whitney@dca.ca.gov](mailto:cynthia.whitney@dca.ca.gov)

The backup contact person is:

Name: Jonathan Burke  
Address: Board of Psychology  
1625 North Market Boulevard, Suite N–215,  
Sacramento, CA 95834  
Telephone Number: (916) 574–8072  
Fax Number: (916) 574–8671  
Email Address: [jonathan.burke@dca.ca.gov](mailto:jonathan.burke@dca.ca.gov)

AVAILABILITY OF DOCUMENTS  
ON THE INTERNET

Copies of the Notice of Proposed Action, the Initial Statement of Reasons, and the text of the regulations with modifications noted, as well as the Final Statement of Reasons when completed, and modified text, if any, can be accessed through the Board’s website at [https://www.psychology.ca.gov/laws\\_regs/regulations.shtml](https://www.psychology.ca.gov/laws_regs/regulations.shtml).

TITLE 16. BOARD OF OCCUPATIONAL  
THERAPY

FEEES

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN** that the California Board of Occupational Therapy (hereafter Board) is proposing to take the action described in the Informative Digest below, after considering all comments, objections, and recommendations regarding the proposed action.

PUBLIC HEARING

The Board has not scheduled a public hearing on this proposed action. However, the Board will hold a hearing if it receives a written request for a public hearing from any interested person, or his or her authorized representative, no later than 15 days prior to the close of the written comment period. A hearing may be requested by making such request in writing addressed to the individuals listed under “Contact Person” in this notice.

WRITTEN COMMENT PERIOD

Written comments relevant to the action proposed, including those sent by mail, facsimile, or email to the addresses listed under “Contact Person” in this Notice, must be **received by the Board at its office no later than 5:00 pm on May 26, 2026**, or must be received by the Board at the hearing, should one be scheduled.

AUTHORITY AND REFERENCE

Pursuant to the authority vested by sections 122, 144, 163.5, and 2570.20 of the Business and Professions Code (BPC), and to implement, interpret, or make specific BPC sections 134, 144, 161, 462, 703, 2570.5, 2570.9, 2570.10, 2570.11, 2570.16, and 2570.17, the Board is considering amending section 4130 of title 16 of the California Code of Regulations (CCR)<sup>1</sup>.

INFORMATIVE DIGEST/POLICY  
STATEMENT OVERVIEW

*Summary of Existing Laws and Effect of the Proposed Action*

The Board is the regulatory entity that oversees and regulates the practice of occupational therapy in the State of California. Existing law, BPC section 2570.25, mandates protection of the public shall be the highest priority of the Board in exercising its licensing, regulatory, and disciplinary functions.

<sup>1</sup> All CCR references are to Title 16 unless otherwise noted.

Existing law, BPC Section 2570.20, establishes that the Board shall administer, coordinate, and enforce the Occupational Therapy Practice Act (BPC sections 2570–2572, the “Act”) and shall adopt rules necessary to effectuate the purpose of the Act. BPC section 2570.16 prescribes and establishes the statutory limits for the fees that the Board may charge and collect for each of the professions under the regulatory jurisdiction of the Board.

This regulatory proposal will amend section 4130 of Division 39 of the CCR, increasing the Board’s renewal fees. This will in turn affect the delinquency fees which are one-half of the renewal fees. Similarly, initial license fees will be amended because they are prorated based on the renewal fees. The purpose of amending CCR section 4130 is to address the structural imbalance in the Board’s fund and to ensure future fiscal solvency so the Board can maintain its level of service, enforcement, and public protection. Analysis of the Board’s fund condition confirms the Board must implement budgetary adjustments by addressing fees among all professions it regulates to protect the Board’s fund from becoming insolvent in Fiscal Year (FY) 2028–29.

The Board is seeking to increase the fees charged and collected by the Board for an initial license and renewal of a license. The fee increases are necessary to help alleviate the structural imbalance that the Board is facing, due in part to the rising cost of operational expenses, while ensuring that the Board has sufficient funding to meet its consumer protection mandate and mission.

**Anticipated Benefits of Proposal**

This regulatory proposal will benefit the health and welfare of California residents as it allows the Board to continue to protect consumers through licensing and enforcement activities. This regulatory proposal does not affect worker safety or the state’s environment. This regulatory proposal seeks to amend the Board’s fee schedule in CCR section 4130 to help ensure the Board has sufficient funding to meet its consumer protection mandate while also meeting the Board’s mission to protect the public’s safety and property by promoting standards for competence and integrity through licensing and regulating the Board’s professions.

The Board anticipates the proposed fee structure will help reduce the structural imbalance of the Board’s fund. This will help the Board remain solvent, align fees with the full cost of operational services, and facilitate the effective administration of the Board while meeting the needs of the public, applicants, and licensees. The proposed fees will apply to the initial and renewal of licenses that expire after the effective date of the regulatory amendments and all other spec-

ified fees apply as of the effective date of the regulatory amendments.

The Board must take immediate action to raise the regulatory fees it collects to increase the Board’s revenue and have funding available to continue the Board’s daily functions, enforcement, and licensing operations without interruption.

**Evaluation of Consistency and Compatibility with Existing State Regulations**

During the process of developing this regulatory proposal, the Board has conducted a search of any similar regulations on these topics and has concluded that these regulations are neither inconsistent nor incompatible with existing state regulations.

**INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE**

There are no forms or documents incorporated by reference.

**DISCLOSURES REGARDING THIS PROPOSED ACTION**

**FISCAL IMPACT ESTIMATES**

**Fiscal Impact on Public Agencies Including Costs or Savings to State Agencies or Costs/Savings in Federal Funding to the State:**

The Board estimates the proposed regulations will increase revenues by approximately \$497,000 per year and up to \$4.97 million over a ten-year period.

Because the Board currently charges the fee types being adjusted in this proposal and performs workload associated with these programs and fees, no additional workload and costs are anticipated.

The Board estimates one-time information technology (IT) costs of \$1,000 to update cashiering and accounting software. Any IT costs will be absorbed within existing resources.

The regulations do not result in any costs or savings in federal funding to the state.

**Nondiscretionary Costs/Savings to Local Agencies:**

None.

**Cost to any Local Agency or School District for which Government Code Sections 17500–17630 Require Reimbursement:**

None.

**Mandate Imposed on Local Agencies or School Districts:**

None.

**Significant Effect on Housing Costs:**

None.

BUSINESS IMPACT ESTIMATES

The Board has made the initial determination that the proposed regulations will not have a significant statewide adverse economic impact on businesses, including the ability to compete with other businesses in California, because the fee increases are considered to be minor compared to the income of most applicants and licensees in this profession.

**Cost Impact on Representative Private Person or Business:** The regulations will increase Board fees as follows:

Occupational Therapists:

- Initial License fees: Prorated per CCR 4120 (a)(1) and based on biennial renewal fees.
- Biennial renewal fees (active/inactive): From \$270 to \$300.
- Delinquency fees: From \$135 to \$150.

Occupational Therapy Assistants:

- Initial License fees: Prorated per CCR 4120 (a)(1) and based on biennial renewal fees.
- Biennial renewal fees (active/inactive): From \$210 to \$300.
- Delinquency fees: From \$105 to \$150.

The proposed regulations are estimated to increase costs to licensees by approximately \$497,000 per year and up to \$4.97 million over a ten-year period. Please see the Initial Statement of Reasons for additional information.

RESULTS OF ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

**Impact on Jobs/New Business:**

The Board has determined that this regulatory proposal will not have any impact on the creation of jobs or new businesses, or the elimination of jobs or existing businesses, or the expansion of businesses in the State of California.

*Benefits to the Health and Welfare of California Residents, Worker Safety, and the State’s Environment:*

This regulatory proposal will benefit the health and welfare of California residents as it allows the Board to continue to protect consumers through licensing and enforcement activities. This regulatory proposal does not affect worker safety or the state’s environment. This regulatory proposal seeks to amend the Board’s fee schedule in CCR section 4130 to help ensure the Board has sufficient funding to meet its consumer protection mandate while also meeting the Board’s mission to protect the public’s safety and property by promoting standards for competence and integrity through licensing and regulating the Board’s professions. Adoption of this proposed action will provide transparency, enhance and make more efficient

the administration, coordination, and enforcement of the Occupational Therapy Practice Act and promote the Board’s mandate to protect the health, safety, and welfare of California consumers.

**Business Reporting Requirements:**

The regulatory action does not require businesses to file a report with the Board.

**Effect on Small Business:**

While the Board does not have nor does it maintain data to define if any of its licensees are a “small business” as defined in Government Code section 11342.610, the Board has made an initial determination that any adverse economic impact will not be significant for small businesses, because the increased expense to any business, small or otherwise, is not more than \$15 to \$90 per year.

CONSIDERATION OF ALTERNATIVES

In accordance with Government Code section 11346.5, subdivision (a)(13), the Board *must* determine that no reasonable alternative to the regulation has otherwise been identified and brought to its attention that would either be more effective, in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed, or would be as effective as and less burdensome to affected private persons, than the proposal described in this Notice; or would be more cost-effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provision of law.

Any interested person may submit comments to the Board in writing, relevant to the above determinations at California Board of Occupational Therapy, 1610 Arden Way, Suite 121, Sacramento, CA 95815, during the written comment period identified in this Notice, or at a hearing if such a request is made by the public.

AVAILABILITY OF INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS AND RULEMAKING FILE

The Board has compiled a record for this regulatory action, which includes the Initial Statement of Reasons (ISOR), proposed regulatory text, and all the information on which this proposal is based. This material is contained in the rulemaking file and is available for public inspection upon request to the contact persons named in this Notice.

TEXT OF PROPOSAL

Copies of the exact language of the proposed regulation, and any document incorporated by reference, and the initial statement of reasons, and all the information upon which the proposal is based, may be obtained from the contact person listed below.

AVAILABILITY OF  
CHANGED OR MODIFIED TEXT

After considering all timely and relevant comments, the Board, upon its own motion or at the request of any interested party, may thereafter adopt the proposals substantially as described below or may modify such proposals if such modifications are sufficiently related to the original text. With the exception of technical or grammatical changes, the full text of any modified proposal, with the modifications clearly indicated, will be available for review and written comment for 15 days prior to its adoption from the persons designated in this Notice as the Contact Persons and will be mailed to those persons who submit written comments or oral testimony related to this proposal or who have requested notification of any changes to the proposal.

AVAILABILITY AND LOCATION OF  
THE FINAL STATEMENT OF  
REASONS AND RULEMAKING FILE

All the information upon which the proposed regulations are based is contained in the rulemaking file which is available for public inspection by contacting the person named below.

You may obtain a copy of the Final Statement of Reasons once it has been prepared by making a written request to the Contact Persons named below or by accessing the website listed below.

CONTACT PERSON

Inquiries or comments concerning the proposed rulemaking action may be addressed to:

Name: Ranjila Sandhu  
Address: California Board of Occupational  
Therapy  
1610 Arden Way, Suite 121  
Sacramento, CA 95815  
Telephone Number: (916) 263-2294  
Email Address: [CBOT@dca.ca.gov](mailto:CBOT@dca.ca.gov)

The backup contact person is:

Name: Jody Quesada Novey  
[Same contact information as above]

AVAILABILITY OF DOCUMENTS ONLINE

Copies of the Notice of Proposed Action, the Initial Statement of Reasons, and the text of the regulations with modifications noted, if any, as well as the Final Statement of Reasons when completed, and modified text, if any, can be accessed through the Board web-

site at [https://bot.ca.gov/board\\_activity/laws\\_regs/prop\\_regulations.shtml](https://bot.ca.gov/board_activity/laws_regs/prop_regulations.shtml).

**TITLE 22. DEPARTMENT  
OF SOCIAL SERVICES**

ORD #0825-04

TUBERCULOSIS ASSESSMENT IN  
CHILDREN'S RESIDENTIAL FACILITIES

The California Department of Social Services (CDSS) hereby gives notice of the proposed regulatory action(s) described below. A public hearing regarding this proposal is not currently scheduled. Not later than 15 days prior to the close of the public comment period, any interested person, or his or her authorized representative, may make a written request for a public hearing pursuant to Government Code section 11346.8, and a public hearing will be held. Requests for a public hearing should be sent to:

California Department of Social Services  
Office of Regulations Development  
744 P Street, MS 8-4-192  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
Telephone: (916) 657-2586  
Email: [ord@dss.ca.gov](mailto:ord@dss.ca.gov)

Statements or arguments relating to the proposals may be submitted in writing, email, or by facsimile to the address/number listed above. All comments must be received by May 27, 2026.

Following the public comment period, CDSS may thereafter adopt the proposals substantially as described below or may modify the proposals if the modifications are sufficiently related to the original text. Except for nonsubstantive, technical, or grammatical changes, the full text of any modified proposal will be available for 15 days prior to its adoption to all persons who submit written comments during the public comment period, and all persons who request notification. Please address requests for regulations as modified to the agency representative identified below.

Copies of the express terms of the proposed regulations and the Initial Statement of Reasons are available from the office listed above. This notice, the Initial Statement of Reasons and the text of the proposed regulations are available on the internet at *Regulations in Process* [<https://www.cdss.ca.gov/inforesources/letters-regulations/legislation-and-regulations/regulations-home-page/regulations-in-process>]. Additionally, all the information which CDSS considered as the basis for these proposed regulations (i.e., rulemaking file) is available for public

reading at the address listed above. Following the public comment period, copies of the Final Statement of Reasons will be available at the above address.

CHAPTERS

Title 22, Division 6, Chapter 1, Sections 80001, 80065, 80066, and 80069; Chapter 4, Section 83066; Chapter 7, Sections 86065, and 86066; Chapter 7.3, Sections 86501, 86565, 86565.5, and 86566; and Chapter 8.8, Section 88069.2.

INFORMATIVE DIGEST/POLICY STATEMENT OVERVIEW

Introduction:

The California Department of Social Services, Community Care Licensing Division provides licensure to Children Residential Facilities in the State of California.

This regulations package is necessary to update and align requirements for tuberculosis assessments for clients and caregivers in community care facilities. It ensures consistency in standards across licensing for all community care facilities and ensures health and safety by aligning standards with current guidance by the California Department of Public Health (CDPH).

Existing Law:

Existing law (California Code of Regulations sections 80065, 80066, 83066, 86065, 86066, 86565, 86565.5, and 86566) mandates tuberculosis testing for personnel and volunteers in community care facilities, and record keeping of tuberculosis testing. Across these sections of existing law, there are inconsistent and unclear requirements. Different sections of code require personnel to have a “tuberculosis test,” “a test for tuberculosis performed by or under the supervision of a physician,” and “tuberculosis test documents,” and other undefined terms.

Existing law (California Code of Regulations sections 80069 and 88069.2) mandates tuberculosis testing for children and clients in community care facilities, and record keeping of tuberculosis testing. Across these sections of existing law, there are inconsistent and unclear requirements. Different sections of code require clients to have a “tuberculin test,” a “chest x-ray,” and “an examination for communicable tuberculosis.”

There are no comparable federal regulations. These regulations align with other state regulations regarding tuberculosis screening procedures.

Effect of Proposed Rulemaking and Anticipated Benefits:

These regulations will align requirements in community care facilities with public health guidelines in accordance with CDPH to ensure the

well-being and health and safety of children and clients in care. They are necessary in order to conform to public health standards for the assessment of tuberculosis and ensure all community care facilities are in alignment. The benefits anticipated from these regulations include providing standardized and defined requirements for children, clients in care, and personnel in screening for tuberculosis. Improving uniformity across programs and ensuring compliance with tuberculosis screening is anticipated to improve health and safety for clients and personnel (i.e., worker safety) in community care facilities. There are no effects to the state’s environment.

The department conducted a review of existing regulations and evaluated the proposed regulations for any inconsistency or incompatibility. The proposed regulations are neither inconsistent nor incompatible with existing state regulations. There are no comparable federal regulations. These regulations align with other state regulations regarding tuberculosis screening procedures. There are no documents or forms incorporated by reference.

COST ESTIMATE

1. Costs or Savings to State Agencies: No Fiscal Impact.
2. Costs to Local Agencies or School Districts Which Must Be Reimbursed in Accordance with Government Code Sections 17500–17630: No Fiscal Impact.
3. Nondiscretionary Costs or Savings to Local Agencies: No Fiscal Impact.
4. Federal Funding to State Agencies: No Fiscal Impact.

LOCAL MANDATE STATEMENT

These regulations do not impose a mandate upon local agencies or school districts. There are no “state-mandated local costs” in these regulations which require state reimbursement under Section 17500 et seq. of the Government Code because any costs associated with the implementation of these regulations are costs mandated by the federal government within the meaning of Section 17513 of the Government Code.

STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANT ADVERSE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON BUSINESS

The Department has made an initial determination that the proposed action will not have a significant, statewide adverse economic impact directly affecting businesses and nonprofit organizations, including the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states. This determination was made

based on the regular contact the Department has with impacted facilities and was designed based on current practice and guidelines from CDPH.

**STATEMENT OF POTENTIAL  
COST IMPACT ON PRIVATE  
PERSONS OR BUSINESSES**

The Department is not aware of any cost impacts that a representative private person or business would incur in reasonable compliance with the proposed action.

**SMALL BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT**

The Department has determined there is no significant impact on small businesses as a result of filing proposed regulations because these regulations will be met in the normal course of business for community care facilities and align with current best practice in public health.

**STATEMENT OF RESULTS OF ECONOMIC  
IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

The adoption of the proposed amendments will neither create nor eliminate jobs in the State of California nor result in the elimination of existing businesses or creation of new businesses or expand businesses in the State of California. The benefits anticipated from these regulations include providing standardized and defined requirements for children, clients in care, and personnel in screening for tuberculosis. Improving uniformity across programs and ensuring compliance with tuberculosis screening is anticipated to improve health and safety for clients and personnel (i.e., worker safety) in community care facilities. There is no affect to the state's environment.

**STATEMENT OF EFFECT  
ON HOUSING COSTS**

The proposed regulatory action will have no effect on housing costs.

**STATEMENT OF  
ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED**

The Department must determine that no reasonable alternative it considered or that has been otherwise identified and brought to its attention would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed, would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed action, or would be more cost-effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provision of law.

These regulations were developed in consideration of similar existing requirements in other similar care settings, these proposed amendments align with statute and regulation for TB screening in other care settings as well as general public health guidance issued by the CDPH. Other alternatives that would not align with these statutes, regulations, and guidelines were not considered. However, the Department invites interested persons to submit statements or arguments with respect to alternatives during the written comment period.

**AUTHORITY AND  
REFERENCE CITATIONS**

CDSS adopts these regulations under the authority granted in Section 1530, Health and Safety Code. Subject regulations implement and make specific Sections 121525 and 121530, Health and Safety Code.

**CDSS REPRESENTATIVE REGARDING  
THE RULEMAKING PROCESS OF THE  
PROPOSED REGULATION**

Contact Person: Kenneth Jennings (916) 657-2586.  
Backup: Oliver Chu (916) 657-2586.

**TITLE 22. EMERGENCY MEDICAL  
SERVICES AUTHORITY**

**SCOPE OF PRACTICE FOR EMERGENCY  
MEDICAL TECHNICIANS**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Emergency Medical Services Authority (EMSA) is proposing to take the action described in the Informative Digest. Written comments, including those sent by mail, facsimile, or email to the addresses listed under Ashley Williams in this Notice, must be received by EMSA at its office no later than May 26, 2026.

EMSA has not scheduled a public hearing on this proposed action. However, EMSA will hold a hearing if it receives a written request for a public hearing from any interested person, or their authorized representative, no later than 15 days before the close of the written comment period. EMSA may thereafter adopt the proposal substantially as described below or may modify the proposal if such modifications are sufficiently related to the original text. With the exception of technical or grammatical changes, the full text of any modified proposal will be available for 15 days prior to its adoption from the person designated in this Notice as the contact person and will be mailed to those persons who submit written or oral testimony

related to this proposal or who have requested notification of any changes to the proposal.

*Authority and Reference:* The Health and Safety Code Section 1797.107 authorizes EMSA to adopt the proposed regulations, which would implement, interpret, clarify, or make specific Section 1797.112 of the Health and Safety Code.

### INFORMATIVE DIGEST/POLICY STATEMENT OVERVIEW

The Emergency Medical Services System and Prehospital Emergency Care Personnel Act (Health and Safety Code Division 2.5) established EMSA and set forth its duties and responsibilities, including the authority and procedures for promulgating regulations (Health and Safety Code section 1797.107). Health and Safety Code section 1797.112 establishes the EMS Personnel Fund, which is administered by EMSA.

California’s EMS system operates as a two-tiered structure. EMSA provides statewide regulatory oversight and establishes minimum standards for EMS system operations, while Local Emergency Medical Services Agencies (LEMSAs) provide local EMS system oversight. Currently, there are 34 LEMSAs in California. Most LEMSAs serve a single county, while several oversee multiple counties.

Nausea and vomiting are common symptoms encountered in the prehospital setting across a broad range of emergency medical conditions. Uncontrolled nausea and vomiting can complicate patient assessment and management, increase the risk of aspiration, interfere with treatment, and delay transport. Ondansetron is a widely accepted antiemetic medication with a well-established safety profile that is commonly used in emergency departments and EMS systems nationwide.

Within California, many LEMSAs currently authorize ondansetron for Emergency Medical Technician (EMT) administration through local optional scope of practice (LOSOP) approvals. This has resulted in inconsistent access to ondansetron across jurisdictions and requires repeated administrative review and renewal of substantially similar applications.

These regulations seek to address this issue by amending the statewide EMT scope of practice to include ondansetron as an approved medication.

Specifically, the regulatory proposal is as follows:

1. Amend section 100066.02 of Chapter 3.1 of Division 9 of Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations to add ondansetron to the list of medications that may be administered by emergency medical technicians under the statewide scope of practice.

### ANTICIPATED BENEFITS OF THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS

The proposed amendment will improve patient care by allowing EMT’s to manage nausea and vomiting effectively in the prehospital setting, enhancing patient comfort, reducing complications associated with vomiting, and supporting safer and more efficient patient transport.

Incorporating ondansetron into the statewide scope of practice will promote consistency across California’s EMS systems and eliminate the need for LEMSAs to seek and renew LOSOP approvals for this medication, thereby reducing administrative burden at both the state and local levels.

### CONSISTENCY AND COMPATIBILITY WITH EXISTING STATE REGULATIONS

During the development of these regulations, EMSA conducted a review of related regulations and has determined that the proposed amendment is neither inconsistent nor incompatible with existing state regulations.

### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

None.

### DISCLOSURES REGARDING THE PROPOSED ACTION

*Cost Impact on a Representative Private Person or Business:* EMSA is not aware of any cost impacts that a representative private person or business would necessarily incur in reasonable compliance with the proposed action.

*Cost or Savings in Federal Funding to the State:* None.

*Local Mandate:* None.

*Nondiscretionary Costs or Savings to Local Agencies:* None.

*Cost to Any Local Agency or School District Requiring Reimbursement:* None.

*Business Impact:* EMSA makes its initial determination that the proposed regulation will not have a significant, statewide adverse economic impact directly affecting business, including the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states.

*Cost or Savings to Any State Agency:* None.

*Effect on Small Businesses:* The proposed regulation will not affect small businesses, as it only updates the list of medications authorized for EMT administration.

*Housing Costs:* None.

**RESULTS OF ECONOMIC IMPACT  
ANALYSIS/ASSESSMENT**

The EMSA concludes that it is:

1. Unlikely that the proposal will eliminate any jobs or LEMSAs;
2. Unlikely that the proposal will create any new jobs;
3. Unlikely that the proposal will create new businesses or LEMSAs;
4. Unlikely that the proposal will eliminate existing businesses in California or existing LEMSAs; and,
5. Unlikely that the proposal will result in the expansion of businesses or LEMSAs currently operating in the state.

The health and welfare of California residents receiving emergency medical care will benefit from the addition of ondansetron by improving patient comfort and supporting safer prehospital care. The proposal does not have any impact on the environment.

**CONSIDERATION OF ALTERNATIVES**

EMSA must determine that no reasonable alternative it considered or that has otherwise been identified and brought to its attention would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed, would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed action, or would be more cost-effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provision of law.

Any interested person may present statements or arguments with respect to alternatives during the written comment period or at any scheduled hearing.

**INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS, TEXT  
OF THE PROPOSAL, FINAL STATEMENT  
OF REASONS, AND RULEMAKING FILE**

Copies of the proposed text, any document incorporated by reference, and the Initial Statement of Reasons are available by contacting the person named below. All information upon which the proposed regulations are based is contained in the rulemaking file and is available for public inspection. Once the Final Statement of Reasons has been prepared, it will be made available from the Contact Persons listed below.

**AVAILABILITY OF DOCUMENTS  
ON THE INTERNET**

Copies of the Notice of Proposed Action, the Initial Statement of Reasons, and the pro-

posed text are available on the EMSA website at: [https://emsa.ca.gov/public\\_comment/](https://emsa.ca.gov/public_comment/).

**CONTACT PERSONS**

Inquiries or comments concerning the proposed rulemaking action may be addressed to:

Primary Contact Person:

Name: Ashley Williams, Deputy Director of  
Legislative and External Affairs  
Address: 11120 International Drive, Suite 200  
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670  
Mobile: (916)591-3266  
Email: [ashley.williams@emsa.ca.gov](mailto:ashley.williams@emsa.ca.gov)

Back-Up Contact Person:

Name: Sergio Martinez  
Phone: (916) 322-4336  
[Regulations@emsa.ca.gov](mailto:Regulations@emsa.ca.gov)

**GENERAL PUBLIC INTEREST**

**DEPARTMENT OF  
FISH AND WILDLIFE**

**CESA CONSISTENCY DETERMINATION  
REQUEST FOR HUM 254  
CULVERT REHABILITATION PROJECT  
PMS 0.0-43.0 2080-2026-006-01  
HUMBOLDT COUNTY**

The California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) received a notice on March 23, 2026 that the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) proposes to rely on a consultation between federal agencies to carry out a project that may adversely affect a species protected by the California Endangered Species Act (CESA). The proposed project involves replacing corrugated steel pipe and reinforced concrete box culverts and rehabilitate and restore 42 existing drainage systems at Chadd Creek, Mowry Creek, and Postmile (PM) 8.13. Proposed activities will include, but are not limited to, structure replacement, correcting drainage inlets and outlets, cut and cover or trenchless culvert replacement, rock slope protection installation, vegetation removal and replacement, and channel restoration activities. The proposed project will occur along State Route 254, between PMs 0.0 to 43.0 in Humboldt County, California.

The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) issued a federal biological opinion (BO)(Service Ref. Number WCRO-2025-01976) in a memorandum to

Caltrans on January 22, 2026, which considered the effects of the proposed project on state threatened and federally threatened coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*).

Pursuant to California Fish and Game Code section 2080.1, Caltrans is requesting a determination that the Incidental Take Statement (ITS) and its associated BO are consistent with CESA for purposes of the proposed project. If CDFW determines the ITS and associated BO are consistent with CESA for the proposed project, Caltrans will not be required to obtain an incidental take permit under Fish and Game Code section 2081 subdivision (b) for the proposed project.

**DEPARTMENT OF  
FISH AND WILDLIFE**

HABITAT RESTORATION AND  
ENHANCEMENT ACT CONSISTENCY  
DETERMINATION NUMBER  
1653–2026–180–001–R3

**Project:** Sheephouse Creek Bank Failure Assessment & Design Project

**Location:** Sonoma County

**Applicant:** Ernest Riciolo

**Notifier:** Pacific Watershed Associates, as represented by Shannon Weese

**Background**

*Project Location:* The Sheephouse Creek Bank Failure Assessment & Design Project (Project) is located along the lower extent of Sheephouse Creek, within a quarter mile of the creek’s confluence with the Russian River, Sonoma County, California, latitude 38.450, longitude –123.095, at a property owned by Gloria Keller, Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 097–150–004, and affects Sheephouse Creek, a tributary to the Russian River. Sheephouse Creek supports populations of Central California Coast (CCC) coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*), CCC steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), California giant salamander (*Dicamptodon ensatus*), foothill yellow-legged frog (*Rana boylei*), and California red-legged frog (*Rana draytonii*).

*Project Description:* Ernest Riciolo (Applicant) proposes to enhance or restore habitat within Sheephouse Creek to provide a net conservation benefit for CCC coho salmon, CCC steelhead, California giant salamander, foothill yellow-legged frog, and California red-legged frog. The Project includes the installation of boulder-ballasted large wood structures and rootwads into the streambank at three sites along Sheephouse Creek, as well as the placement of soil, minus rock and rock slope protection along the upper stream bank to secure the wood structures and reinforce the

streambank at each site. The large wood structures and rootwads will contain racking mechanisms to recruit additional wood during future high-flow events and at each site will be placed in different configurations and positions to allow for logs to interact with the creek flow at multiple water depths. After construction of the large wood structures and placement of bank stabilization material, the site will be revegetated with native riparian vegetation.

Completion of the Project will result in improved and stabilized aquatic and riparian habitat within Sheephouse Creek. Added large wood structures will provide enhanced in-stream habitat features for aquatic species including salmonids, as well as increased availability of refugia habitat during high-flow events. Additionally, stabilized streambanks will reduce the amount of fine sediment input from the road, and the large wood structures may increase stream aggradation, improving natural geomorphic processes within the creek.

*Project Size:* The total area of ground disturbance associated with the Project is approximately 0.28 acres and 520 linear feet (152 linear feet of streambed/stream bank impacts and 315 feet of non-jurisdictional area impacts). The Applicant has included project size calculations that were used to determine the total size of the Project. The proposed Project complies with the General 401 Certification for Small Habitat Restoration Projects and associated categorical exemption from the California Environmental Quality Act (Cal. Code Regs., title 14, § 15333).

*Project Associated Discharge:* Discharge of materials into Waters of the State, as defined by Water Code section 13050 subdivision (e), resulting from the Project include those associated with the following: (1) 165 yards of rock riprap; (2) 700 square feet of riparian vegetation; (3) 11 redwood logs without rootwads; and (4) 13 redwood logs with attached rootwads.

*Project Timeframes:* Start date: August 2026  
Completion date: October 2027  
Work window: August 31–October 15

*Water Quality Certification Background:* Because the Project’s primary purpose is habitat restoration intended to improve the quality of waters in California, the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board (Regional Water Board) issued a Notice of Applicability (NOA) for Coverage under the State Water Resources Control Board General 401 Water Quality Certification Order for Small Habitat Restoration Projects SB12006GN (Order) (Waste Discharge Identification (WDID) Number 1B23073WNSO, Electronic Content Management Identification (ECM PIN) Number CW–888488 for the Project. The NOA describes the Project and requires the Applicant to comply with terms of the Order. Additionally, the Appli-

cant has provided a supplemental document that sets forth measures to avoid and minimize impacts to nesting birds, special–status bats, coho salmon, steelhead, amphibians, California red–legged frog, reptiles, western pond turtle, and northern spotted owl.

*Receiving Water:* Sheephouse Creek, tributary to the Russian River.

*Filled or Excavated Area:* Permanent area impacted: .02 acres.

Temporary area impacted: 0.26 acres.

Length temporarily impacted: 467 linear feet.

Length permanently impacted: 53 linear feet.

*Dredge Volume:* None.

*Discharge Volume:* 165 yards of rock riprap, 700 square feet of riparian vegetation, 11 redwood logs without rootwads, and 13 redwood logs with attached rootwads.

*Project Location:* Latitude 38.450 N. and Longitude –123.095 W., (NAD 83); APN: 097–150–004.

Regional Water Board staff determined that the Project may proceed under the Order. Additionally, Regional Water Board staff determined that the Project, as described in the Notice of Intent (NOI) complies with the California Environmental Quality Act (Pub. Resources Code, § 21000 et seq.).

On March 18, 2026, the Director of the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) received a notice from the Applicant requesting a determination pursuant to Fish and Game Code Section 1653 that the NOA, NOI, and related species protection measures are consistent with the Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Act (HREA) with respect to the Project.

Pursuant to Fish and Game Code section 1653 subdivision (c), CDFW filed an initial notice with the Office of Administrative Law on February 12, 2026, for publishing in the General Public Interest section of the California Regulatory Notice Register (Cal. Reg. Notice File Number Z–2026–0212–01) on February 27, 2026. Upon approval, CDFW will file a final notice pursuant to Fish and Game Code section 1653 subdivision (f).

### DETERMINATION

CDFW has determined that the NOA, NOI, and related species protection measures are consistent with HREA as to the Project and meets the conditions set forth in Fish and Game Code section 1653 for authorizing the Project.

Specifically, CDFW finds that: (1) The Project purpose is voluntary habitat restoration and the Project is not required as mitigation; (2) the Project is not part of a regulatory permit for a non–habitat restoration or enhancement construction activity, a regulatory set-

tlement, a regulatory enforcement action, or a court order; and (3) the Project meets the eligibility requirements of the State Water Resources Control Board’s Order for Clean Water Act Section 401 General Water Quality Certification for Small Habitat Restoration Projects.

### AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES

The avoidance and minimization measures for Project, as required by Fish and Game Code section 1653, subdivision (b)(4), were included in an attachment to the NOI, which contains the following categories: (1) Educational Training; (2) Native Vegetation; (3) Pre–Construction Survey; (4) Aquatic Species Relocation; (5) Construction Monitoring; (6) Creek Dewatering and Diversion; (7) Nesting Birds; (8) Special–Status Bats; (9) Coho Salmon and Steelhead Protection Measures (In Accordance with NOAA Fisheries 2016); (10) Additional Protection Measures (In Accordance with USFWS 2025). The specific avoidance and minimization requirements are found in an attachment to the NOI, *Biological Resources Assessment, Sheephouse Creek Bank Failure Project, Jenner, Sonoma County*, prepared by Swift Biological Consulting LLC, February 2026.

### MONITORING AND REPORTING

As required by Fish and Game Code section 1653, subdivision (g), the Applicant included a copy of the monitoring and reporting plan. The Applicant’s Monitoring and Reporting Plan provides a timeline for restoration, performance standards, and monitoring parameters and protocols. Specific requirements of the plan are found in an attachment to the NOI, *Proposed Revegetation, Effectiveness Monitoring and Reporting Plan*, prepared by Pacific Watershed Associates, May 2023.

### NOTICE OF COMPLETION

Coverage under the State Water Resources Control Board General 401 Water Quality Certification Order for Small Habitat Restoration Projects requires the Applicant to submit a Notice of Completion (NOC) no later than 30 days after the project has been completed. A complete NOC includes at a minimum:

- photographs with a descriptive title;
- date the photograph was taken;
- name of the photographic site;
- WDID number and ECM PIN number indicated above;
- success criteria for the Project.

The NOC shall demonstrate that the Applicant has carried out the Project in accordance with the Project description as provided in the Applicant’s NOI. Applicant shall include the project name, WDID number, and ECM PIN number with all future inquiries and document submittals. Pursuant to Fish and Game Code section 1653, subdivision (g), the Applicant shall submit the monitoring plan, monitoring report, and notice of completion to CDFW as required by the General Order. Applicant shall submit documents electronically to: [Michael.Stuhldreher@wildlife.ca.gov](mailto:Michael.Stuhldreher@wildlife.ca.gov).

**PROJECT AUTHORIZATION**

Pursuant to Fish and Game Code section 1654, CDFW’s approval of a habitat restoration or enhancement project pursuant to section 1652 or 1653 shall be in lieu of any other permit, agreement, license, or other approval issued by CDFW, including, but not limited to, those issued pursuant to Chapter 6 (commencing with section 1600) and Chapter 10 (commencing with section 1900) of this Division and Chapter 1.5 (commencing with section 2050) of Division 3. Additionally, Applicant must adhere to all measures contained in the approved NOA and comply with other conditions described in the NOI.

If there are any substantive changes to the Project or if the Water Board amends or replaces the NOA, the Applicant shall be required to obtain a new consistency determination from CDFW. (See generally Fish & Game Code, § 1654, subdivision (c).)

**RULEMAKING  
PETITION DECISIONS**

**DEPARTMENT OF  
CANNABIS CONTROL**

MARCH 11, 2026

Ben Green  
Green Farms  
[ben707green@gmail.com](mailto:ben707green@gmail.com)

Dear Ben:

This letter is in response to your email entitled, “ATTENTION: DCC DIRECTOR, Nicole Elliot Annual License Fee for Small Farms should be reduced or suspended” (petition), submitted in accordance with Government Code section 11340.6 and received by the Department of Cannabis Control (Department) on October 8, 2025. The substance of the petition is a request that the Department exercise the rulemaking authority

granted by Business and Professions Code (BPC) section 26013 to 1) indefinitely suspend or reduce license renewal fees for small cultivators and 2) reimburse renewal fees already paid by small cultivators in 2025. The petition explains that due to an oversupplied and saturated commercial cannabis market in California, small farms are experiencing record losses and are at risk of going out of business entirely.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11340.7, the Department must either deny your petition on its merits or schedule the matter for public hearing in compliance with the notice and hearing requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act. In accordance with Government Code section 11340.7, the Department hereby denies your petition for the reasons stated below.

BPC section 26180 requires the Department to charge each licensee a license renewal fee calculated to cover the costs of administering and enforcing the Medicinal and Adult-Use Cannabis Regulation and Safety Act (MAURCSA) and implementing regulations. This statute further requires fees to fairly and proportionately generate revenue and be scaled based on the size of the licensed business. The renewal fees for “small” cultivators are already established in California Code of Regulations (CCR), title 4, section 15014. Cultivation licensees already have various methods of relief available under law, including the option to reduce their canopy size (4 CCR § 15020.2) and applying for equity fee relief (4 CCR § 15014.1.) The BPC provides no express authority for the Department to reimburse licensees in the way you describe; regardless, doing so would likely violate the Department’s legislative mandate to establish appropriate, fair, and proportionate fees that fully cover the Department’s costs.

Thank you for your interest. Any interested party may obtain a copy of your petition by sending a request to [regulations@cannabis.ca.gov](mailto:regulations@cannabis.ca.gov).

Sincerely,  
/s/

Kaila Fayne  
Attorney  
Cc: Office of Administrative Law

**DEPARTMENT OF  
CANNABIS CONTROL**

MARCH 11, 2026

Caren Woodson  
KIVA Brands, Inc.  
[kivaconfections.com](http://kivaconfections.com)

Dear Caren:

This letter is in response to your petition for regulatory change received by the Department of Cannabis Control (Department) on October 2, 2025. The substance of the petition is a request that the Department exercise the rulemaking authority granted by Business and Professions Code (BPC) section 26013 by amending California Code of Regulations (CCR), title 4, section 15052 to eliminate the requirement for mandatory retesting of cannabis and cannabis products upon return to the originating licensee when the products remain sealed, unadulterated, and within the valid Certificate of Analysis window. In accordance with Government Code section 11340.7, the Department hereby grants your petition for consideration in a future rulemaking action and invites you to participate in that rulemaking process when it begins.

Thank you for your interest. Any interested party may obtain a copy of your petition by sending a request to [regulations@cannabis.ca.gov](mailto:regulations@cannabis.ca.gov).

Sincerely,

/s/

Kaila Fayne

Attorney

Cc: Office of Administrative Law

**DEPARTMENT OF  
CANNABIS CONTROL**

MARCH 11, 2026

Caren Woodson

KIVA Brands, Inc.

[kivaconfections.com](http://kivaconfections.com)

Dear Caren:

This letter is in response to your petition for regulatory change received by the Department of Cannabis Control (Department) on October 2, 2025. The substance of the petition is a request that the Department exercise the rulemaking authority granted by Business and Professions Code (BPC) section 26013 by amending California Code of Regulations (CCR), title 4, section 15052.1 to allow licensees to reject or adjust individual units within a package in the track and trace system. In accordance with Government Code section 11340.7, the Department hereby grants your petition for consideration in a future rulemaking action and invites you to participate in that rulemaking process when it begins.

Thank you for your interest. Any interested party may obtain a copy of your petition by sending a request to [regulations@cannabis.ca.gov](mailto:regulations@cannabis.ca.gov).

Sincerely,

/s/

Kaila Fayne

Attorney

Cc: Office of Administrative Law

**DEPARTMENT OF  
CANNABIS CONTROL**

MARCH 11, 2026

Caren Woodson

KIVA Brands, Inc.

[kivaconfections.com](http://kivaconfections.com)

Dear Caren:

This letter is in response to your petition for regulatory change received by the Department of Cannabis Control (Department) on October 2, 2025. The substance of the petition is a request that the Department exercise the rulemaking authority granted by Business and Professions Code (BPC) section 26013 by amending California Code of Regulations (CCR), title 4, section 15052.1 to exempt cannabis manufacturing employees from the food handler certification requirement when a licensee demonstrates compliance with higher-level safeguards, such as Good Manufacturing Practices. In accordance with Government Code section 11340.7, the Department hereby grants your petition for consideration in a future rulemaking action and invites you to participate in that rulemaking process when it begins.

Thank you for your interest. Any interested party may obtain a copy of your petition by sending a request to [regulations@cannabis.ca.gov](mailto:regulations@cannabis.ca.gov).

Sincerely,

/s/

Kaila Fayne

Attorney

Cc: Office of Administrative Law

**DEPARTMENT OF  
CORRECTIONS AND  
REHABILITATION**

NOTICE OF DECISION ON PETITION TO  
AMEND REGULATIONS PURSUANT TO  
GOVERNMENT CODE 11340.7

PETITIONER

Stephen Menchaca, #J–26976.

DEPARTMENT CONTACT PERSON

Please direct any inquiries regarding this action to Ying Sun, Associate Director, Regulation and Policy Management Branch, Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, P.O. Box 942883, Sacramento, CA 94283–0001.

AVAILABILITY OF PETITION

The petition to amend regulations is available upon request directed to the Department’s contact person.

AUTHORITY

Penal Code Sections: 5054 and 5058.

PROVISIONS OF CALIFORNIA CODE OF  
REGULATIONS AFFECTED

Title 15, Crime Prevention and Corrections.  
Division 3, Adult Institutions, Programs and Parole.

SUMMARY OF PETITION AND  
DEPARTMENT DECISION

**Subsection 3140(b)**

**Petitioner’s Request:** CDCR regulation 3140(b) fails to comply with the standards of the APA and conflicts with federal law. CDCR regulation 3140(b) is therefore invalid and unenforceable. Mr. Menchaca proposes that CDCR amend 15 CCR section 3140(b)(1) as follows: “Generally, incarcerated persons are not eligible to receive Supplemental Security Income (SSI) checks from the Social Security Administration, ~~Veteran Affairs Benefits~~, or welfare checks from the California Department of Social Services or County Welfare agencies. Depending upon their eligibility, incarcerated persons may be eligible to receive tax refund checks. *Federal statutes specify that incarcerated veterans are able to receive federal veteran’s benefits.*”

“A cite to 38 USCS 5313 should be added to the Authority section of 3140.”

**Reason for Request:** Petitioner states “CDCR regulation 3140(b), on multiple points, is inconsistent and conflicts with the federal statutory scheme governing benefits issued by the United States Department of Veterans Affairs to incarcerated veterans. The Supremacy Clause requires that CDCR regulation [3140](#) give way to federal law.

Federal statute [38 USCS 5313](#) declares the congressional intent that veterans, whom the Department of Veterans Affairs has determined are eligible to receive monetary benefits, shall receive those benefits, even if incarcerated.

CDCR regulation 3140(b) erroneously states, in pertinent part,: ‘Generally, incarcerated persons are not able to receive..., Veteran (sic) Affairs Benefits (sic),...’. CDCR regulation 3140(b) must give way to federal law due to conflict with 38 USCS 5313.

Federal statute 38 USCS 5120(a) places responsibility for payment of monetary benefits to eligible veterans with the Secretary, Department of Veterans Affairs; and, requires that he/she do so in a manner that protects the United States from loss. Federal law offers no hint of congressional intent that any state agency shares the Secretary’s responsibilities set forth in federal statute.

CDCR regulation 3140(a) establishes the basic procedure for handling of funds received by mail for deposit in an incarcerated person’s trust account. Regulation 3140 provides no explanation why section 3140(a)’s basic procedure is inadequate for handling veterans benefits received for deposit, or, cite to federal statute being implemented, interpreted or made specific.

CDCR regulation 3140(b) fails to comply with the standards of the APA and conflicts with federal law. CDCR regulation 3140(b) is therefore invalid and unenforceable.

Incarcerated veterans in California prisons, including petitioner, have been harmed by administration of CDCR regulation 3140(b) in its present form. Petitioner’s compensation payments have been denied for over six months because of 3140’s special procedure for handling veterans benefits checks.”

**Department’s Response:** Mr. Menchaca’s request is denied. CDCR regulation 3140(b) was adopted into CCR, Title 15 following the APA requirements, which is consistent with the Supremacy Clause and does not conflict with any federal statute.

38 USCS 5313 establishes limits for payment of Veterans Affairs compensation and dependency and indemnity compensation to incarcerated veterans convicted of a felony after the 61st day of incarceration. CDCR regulations which state in part that incarcerated persons are generally not able to receive VA benefits accurately reflect this federal limitation and the federal and state restrictions on SSI and welfare ben-

efits. The regulation facilitates federal eligibility rules by requiring verification before deposit.

[38 USCS 5120](#) governs the Secretary of Veterans Affairs' responsibility to pay benefits "in such form as to protect the United States from loss" and addresses delivery and timing of payments. It contains no language prohibiting states from establishing internal procedures for handling, verifying, and clearing checks received at correctional institutions. Federal law does not block CDCR's authority to manage incarcerated persons' trust accounts and prevent the deposit of ineligible or fraudulent instruments.

The procedures in 3140(b) are necessary to coordinate with the VA, Social Security Administration, and welfare agencies, which routinely require confirmation of an incarcerated person's status before issuing or continuing benefits. Without these procedures, CDCR could inadvertently credit ineligible funds, expose the Department to liability, or violate federal restrictions.

The regulation was properly adopted under the APA, cites sufficient state authority under Penal Code [5054](#) and [5058](#), and serves legitimate penological interests such as account integrity, fraud prevention, and compliance with federal benefit rules. The petitioner's personal experience of delayed or suspended payments does not render the regulation invalid or unenforceable for the entire incarcerated population. As such, petitioner's request to repeal or amend mentioned regulations is denied.

**Subsection 3140(b)(1)**

**Petitioner's Request:** Mr. Menchaca proposes that CDCR amend CCR Title 15 section 3140(b)(1) as follows: "A facility representative, who shall be responsible for verifying an incarcerated persons eligibility to receive payment issued by an outside agency, shall be appointed by the Associate Warden, Business Services, to assist outside agencies in determining an incarcerated persons eligibility."

**Reason for Request:** Petitioner states "CDCR regulation 3140(b) lacks clarity in that it instructs the Associate Warden, Business Services, to appoint a 'facility representative' to 'assist outside agencies in determining an incarcerated person's eligibility.' Determination of eligibility for Veterans Affairs (or Social Security) benefits is purely a federal function. If CDCR's intent is verification of eligibility, section 3140(b)(1) should be repealed and amended to so state."

**Department's Response:** Mr. Menchaca's request is denied. The current language in 3140(b)(1) is clear, concise, and compliant with APA standards. The regulation designates a facility representative appointed by the Associate Warden, Business Services to assist outside agencies in verifying an incarcerated person's eligibility and current incarceration status. Determination of eligibility remains a federal function performed

by the VA or SSA. CDCR does not determine eligibility and only provides verified information such as confirmation that the person is still incarcerated, that the federal agency then uses in determining eligibility.

The petitioner's proposed amendment adds no clarity and is unnecessary. The existing text accurately describes a verification process that federal agencies rely upon. There is no existing conflict with federal law, and no amendment is warranted.

**Subsection 3140(b)(2)**

**Petitioner's Request:** Mr. Menchaca proposes that CDCR amend 15 CCR section 3140(b)(2) as follows: "Mail Room staff shall deliver separate all SSI, Veterans Affairs Benefits, welfare, and tax refund checks prior to delivering all checks received to the Trust Office. The Accounting Officer shall notify the facility representative that checks are being held pending determination verification of eligibility of the incarcerated person to receive the checks. The institution facility representative shall notify contact the appropriate agency; and verify eligibility of the incarcerated person to receive the check."

**Reason for Request:** Petitioner states "CDCR regulation 3140(b)(2) instructs the Accounting Officer to hold veterans benefit checks for an unspecified period of time, until the facility representative determines the eligibility of the incarcerated person to receive the check. CDCR regulation 3140(b)(2), without cite to authorizing federal authority, therefore creates an obstacle to the administration and execution of congressional intent articulated in 38 USCS 5120(e)'s 'as close as maximally possible' language. Due to this conflict CDCR regulation 3140(b)(2) must give way to federal law governing veterans' benefits issued to incarcerated veterans."

**Department's Response:** Mr. Menchaca's request is denied. The procedure in 3140(b)(2) requires mailroom staff to route specific benefit checks directly to the Trust Office and notify the facility representative so that eligibility verification can occur before deposit. This is a necessary administrative process that does not create a barrier to federal law.

[38 USCS 5120\(e\)](#) provides the VA's payment process for when the first day of a calendar month falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal public holiday. Once the VA mails the check to the institution address, CDCR may lawfully apply its standard processing and verification steps. The regulation does not delay the VA's payment issuance; it ensures the check is credited to the correct eligible recipient's account after verification.

The hold pending verification protects federal interests, such as preventing overpayments or payments to ineligible persons, and state interests, such as account accuracy and fraud prevention. The current language is clear, necessary, and authorized. Therefore, the petitioner's proposed amendments are not warranted.

**Subsection 3140(d)**

**Petitioner’s Request:** Mr. Menchaca proposes that CDCR amend 15 CCR section 3140(d) as follows: “... *The federal statutory scheme governing veterans’ benefits precludes application of this subsection’s 30–day clearing period provision to veterans benefit checks.*”

“A cite to 38 USCS 5120 should be added to the Authorities section of regulation 3140.”

**Reason for Request:** Petitioner states “CDCR regulation 3140(d)’s 30–day clearing period conflicts with federal law in multiple ways:

- (a) Where 38 USCS 5120(e) declares congressional intent that veterans receive their monthly benefits as close to the first day of the month for which the benefits were issued, 3140(d)’s 30–day clearing period impermissibly (sic) places an obstacle to the administration and execution of the intent of 38 USCS 5120(e) by denying incarcerated veterans access to the benefits throughout the entire month for which the benefits were issued.
- (b) Enforcement of section 3140(d)’s 30–day clearing period provision for veterans benefit checks is unnecessary and inconsistent with 38 USCS 5120(a) which requires the Secretary, Department of Veterans Affairs to certify the check, issued, not by a commercial bank but by the United States Department of Treasury, in a manner which protects the United States from loss.
- (c) Finally, section 3140(d)’s 30–day clearing period for veterans benefit checks is unnecessary and inconsistent with 31 USCS 3332(h) in that once the check, issued in a manner which protects the United States from loss, is deposited to the account of an incarcerated veteran, that action statutorily constitutes ‘a full acquittance to the United States for the amount of the payment.’”

**Department’s Response:** Mr. Menchaca’s request is denied. The 30–day clearing period in subsection 3140(d) is a standard safeguard that applies to negotiable instruments to allow time for banking verification, detection of forgery, or returned items and does not conflict with federal law.

Specifically, it does not obstruct 38 USCS 5120(e)’s intent regarding the timing of VA payments. As mentioned above, section 38 UCSCS 5120(e) governs the VA’s payment process, not CDCR’s processing of payments. CDCR’s clearing period once the payment has been received is an internal administrative step that does not alter the VA’s issuance schedule.

The regulation is consistent with 38 USCS 5120(a) in that the VA already certifies checks “in a manner which protects the United States from loss” before mailing. CDCR’s clearing period is an additional protection against fraud or ineligible deposits after receipt, which federal law neither prohibits nor blocks.

Adding the proposed exemption language for VA checks is unnecessary and would undermine the standardized fraud–prevention purpose of the clearing period. The current regulation is clear, necessary, authorized by state law, and consistent with federal statutes. As such, no amendment is warranted.

**SUMMARY OF  
REGULATORY ACTIONS**

**REGULATIONS FILED WITH THE  
SECRETARY OF STATE**

This Summary of Regulatory Actions lists regulations filed with the Secretary of State on the dates indicated. Copies of the regulations may be obtained by contacting the agency or from the Secretary of State, Archives, 1020 O Street, Sacramento, CA 95814, (916) 653–7715. Please have the agency name and the date filed (see below) when making a request.

Department of Food and Agriculture

File # 2026–0213–01

Prohibited Noxious Weed Seed

In this certificate of compliance, the Department of Food and Agriculture makes permanent its emergency regulation to add *Orobanche (Phelipanche) aegyptiaca* (Egyptian broomrape) to the list of prohibited noxious weed seed, which is a term defined in Section 52257 of the Food and Agricultural Code.

Title 03

Amend: 3854

Filed 03/25/2026

Effective 03/24/2026

Agency Contact: Rachel Avila (916) 698–2947

Department of Food and Agriculture

File # 2026–0316–03

Caribbean Fruit Fly Interior Quarantine

In this emergency action pursuant to Government Code section 11346.1, the Department of Food and Agriculture amends its regulations regarding establishment of an interior quarantine for the Caribbean fruit fly.

Title 03

Amend: 3422

Filed 03/26/2026

Effective 03/26/2026

Agency Contact: Rachel Avila (916) 698–2947

Air Resources Board  
File # 2026–0316–04  
Emergency Vehicle Emissions Regulations  
— Readoption

This emergency readoption action pursuant to Government Code section 11236.1(h) submitted by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) seeks to re-adopt OAL File Number 2025–0922–01E, which adopted alternative criteria pollutant standards and associated on-board diagnostic requirements.

Title 13, 17

Adopt: 1900.0.1, 1956.8.1, 1961.2.1, 1961.3.1, 1962.2.1, 1962.3.1, 1965.0.1, 1968.2.1, 1968.5.1, 1969.0.1, 1971.1.1, 1971.5.1, 1976.0.1, 1978.0.1, 2035.0.1, 2036.0.1, 2037.0.1, 2038.0.1, 2040.0.1, 2111.0.1, 2112.0.1, 2113.0.1, 2114.0.1, 2115.0.1, 2116.0.1, 2117.0.1, 2118.0.1, 2119.0.1, 2121.0.1, 2123.0.1, 2125.0.1, 2126.0.1, 2127.0.1, 2128.0.1, 2129.0.1, 2130.0.1, 2131.0.1, 2133.0.1, 2137.0.1, 2139.0.1, 2140.0.1, 2141.0.1, 2142.0.1, 2143.0.1, 2144.0.1, 2145.0.1, 2146.0.1, 2147.0.1, 2148.0.1, 2149.0.1, 2317.0.1, 2423.0.1, 2485.0.1, 2903.0.1  
95300.0.1, 95301.0.1, 95302.0.1, 95303.0.1, 95304.0.1, 95305.0.1, 95306.0.1, 95307.0.1, 95308.0.1, 95309.0.1, 95310.0.1, 95311.0.1, 95312.0.1, 95660.0.1, 95661.0.1, 95662.0.1, 95663.0.1, 95664.0.1  
95300.0.1, 95301.0.1, 95302.0.1, 95303.0.1, 95304.0.1, 95305.0.1, 95306.0.1, 95307.0.1, 95308.0.1, 95309.0.1, 95310.0.1, 95311.0.1, 95312.0.1, 95660.0.1, 95661.0.1, 95662.0.1, 95663.0.1, 95664.0.1  
Amend: 1900, 1956.8, 1961.2, 1961.3, 1962.2, 1962.3, 1965, 1968.2, 1968.5, 1969, 1971.1, 1971.5, 1976, 1978, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2038, 2040, 2111, 2112, 2113, 2114, 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2121, 2123, 2125, 2126, 2127, 2128, 2129, 2130, 2131, 2133, 2137, 2139, 2139.5, 2140, 2141, 2142, 2143, 2144, 2145, 2146, 2147, 2148, 2149, 2166, 2166.1, 2167, 2168, 2169, 2169.1, 2169.2, 2169.3, 2169.4, 2169.5, 2169.6, 2169.7, 2169.8, 2170, 2317, 2423, 2485, 2903  
95300, 95301, 95302, 95303, 95304, 95305, 95306, 95307, 95308, 95309, 95310, 95311, 95312, 95660, 95661, 95662, 95663, 95664  
Filed 03/26/2026  
Effective 04/01/2026  
Agency Contact: Lindsay Garcia (916) 546–2286

California Alternative Energy and Advanced  
Transportation Financing Authority  
File # 2026–0320–02  
Commercial Energy Efficiency Financing Program

In this emergency readoption action pursuant to Government Code section 11346.1(h) the California

Alternative Energy and Advanced Transportation Financing Authority (“Authority”) readopts amendments to approve eligible financing for the reconstruction of existing buildings destroyed in whole or in part by a natural disaster. This action is a deemed emergency pursuant to Public Resources Code section 26009.

Title 04

Amend: 10092.1, 10092.7  
Filed 03/30/2026  
Effective 03/31/2026  
Agency Contact:  
Jonathan Verhoef (916) 809–4637

Air Resources Board  
File # 2026–0212–06  
California Reformulated Gasoline Regulation

This section 100 action pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 1, section 100, submitted by the California Air Resources Board (CARB), seeks to allow the sale of blends of gasoline containing 10.5 percent to 15 percent ethanol by volume in California for use as a transportation fuel until specific actions by CARB and the California Environmental Policy Council are taken, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 43013.7.

Title 13

Amend: 2262, 2262.5  
Filed 03/27/2026  
Agency Contact:  
Roberta “Bobbi” Ruch (279) 208–7881

Board of Psychology  
File # 2026–0213–06  
Examinations

This section 100 action, by the Board of Psychology, pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 1, section 100 amends the score threshold associated with seeking time accommodations regarding the Test of English as a Foreign Language to align with an external vendor’s test scoring methodology change.

Title 16

Amend: 1388  
Filed 03/30/2026  
Agency Contact:  
Jacklyn Mancilla (916) 574–8938

Board of Registered Nursing  
File # 2026–0217–02  
Application for Approval and Changes to an  
Approved Program

This section 100 action, by the Board of Registered Nursing, pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 1, section 100 amends the Incorporated by Reference document “Instructions for Institutions Seeking

Approval of a New Prelicensure Registered Nursing Program or Addition of a New Campus or Location for a Currently Approved Nursing Program” to remove its outdated header.

Title 16  
 Amend: 1421, 1432  
 Filed 04/01/2026  
 Agency Contact: Marissa Clark

Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation  
 File # 2026–0212–01

Non–Substantive Changes — VIO Administrative Determinant

This section 100 action pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 1, section 100, by the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitations, revises cross–references regarding the “VIO” Administrative Determinant.

Title 15  
 Amend: 3375.2  
 Filed 03/25/2026  
 Agency Contact: Alison Colavita (279) 223–2314

Division of Workers’ Compensation  
 File # 2026–0219–01

Utilization Review Standards

In this section 100 action pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 1, section 100, the Division of Workers’ Compensation, Department of Industrial Relations seeks to amend Form IMR and Form UR–01.

Title 08  
 Amend: 9792.7.1, 9792.10.2  
 Filed 04/01/2026  
 Agency Contact: River J Sung (510) 286–0637

Board for Professional Engineers, Land Surveyors and Geologists

File # 2026–0217–01

Fees

In this rulemaking action, the Board for Professional Engineers, Land Surveyors, and Geologists increases the fees charged and collected by the Board for an initial license, examinations, renewal of a license, and retired license.

Title 16  
 Amend: 407, 3005  
 Filed 03/26/2026  
 Effective 07/01/2026  
 Agency Contact: Angela Yu (916) 999–3610

Bureau of Automotive Repair

File # 2026–0218–03

Change of Address Requirements

In this rulemaking action the Bureau of Automotive Repair (BAR) amends the time frame for a vehicle safety systems inspection station seeking licensure to notify BAR of material changes and a change of address. BAR also amends the timeframe for an automotive repair dealer seeking licensure to notify BAR of a change of address. BAR removes the incorporation by reference to Form R–5 (Rev. 10/14) as BAR no longer requires this form.

Title 16  
 Amend: 3312.1, 3351, 3351.1  
 Filed 03/30/2026  
 Effective 07/01/2026  
 Agency Contact: Holly Helsing (916) 403–8600

Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers

File # 2026–0213–03

Align Appraisal Review Requirements with USPAP

In this rulemaking action, the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers amends its regulations to align with updates to the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP).

Title 10  
 Amend: 3705  
 Filed 03/30/2026  
 Effective 07/01/2026  
 Agency Contact: Christine Jacob (916) 574–8014

California Architects Board

File # 2026–0212–07

Retired License Reinstatement

This action by the California Architects Board amends regulations regarding retired license holders seeking to return to active status to (1) establish a one–year waiting period prior to applying for reinstatement and (2) require submission of proof of completion of continuing education coursework, as specified, as a condition of reinstatement.

Title 16  
 Amend: 109.1  
 Filed 03/30/2026  
 Effective 07/01/2026  
 Agency Contact: Timothy Rodda (279) 895–1246

**CCR CHANGES FILED WITH  
THE SECRETARY OF STATE**

**SECTIONS FILED JANUARY 1, 2026  
TO MARCH 31, 2026**

All regulatory actions filed by OAL during this period are listed below by California Code of Regulations titles, then by date filed with the Secretary of State, with the Manual of Policies and Procedures changes adopted by the Department of Social Services listed last. For further information on a particular file, contact the person listed in the Summary of Regulatory Actions section of the Notice Register published on the first Friday more than nine days after the date filed.

**Title 01**

02/04/2026 ADOPT: 4 AMEND: 3, 5, 6, 6.5, 20, 50, 100

**Title 02**

01/07/2026 AMEND: 11004, 11105, 14000, 14003, 14020, 14025, 14051, 14343

01/13/2026 AMEND: 549.95

01/13/2026 AMEND: 599.737.5

01/13/2026 AMEND: 615.2, 617.1, 617.4, 617.7, 617.8, 617.9, 619.4, 619.5, 647.20, 647.21, 647.26, 647.30, 647.31, 649, 649.8, 649.32, 649.33, 649.41, 649.48 REPEAL: 617.5, 617.6, 647.3, 647.4, 647.20.1, 647.22, 647.25

02/03/2026 AMEND: 37000

02/09/2026 AMEND: 18351

02/10/2026 ADOPT: 59940

02/17/2026 ADOPT: 1859.78.10 AMEND: 1859.2, 1859.71.3, 1859.77.1, 1859.78.5, 1859.79, 1859.83, 1859.163.1, 1859.163.5, 1859.164.2, 1859.167.1, 1859.168

02/17/2026 ADOPT: 10280, 10281 AMEND: 10000, 10001, 10031, 10063 REPEAL: 10024, 10025, 10056, 10057

02/26/2026 AMEND: 27000

03/12/2026 AMEND: 59710

03/16/2026 AMEND: 2292, 2293

03/19/2026 AMEND: 59530

**Title 03**

01/15/2026 AMEND: 3867

02/27/2026 AMEND: 3024.8, 4603

02/27/2026 AMEND: 3280

03/12/2026 ADOPT: 3290

03/25/2026 AMEND: 3854

03/26/2026 AMEND: 3422

**Title 04**

01/08/2026 ADOPT: 165.1, 165.2

01/13/2026 AMEND: 1632

02/03/2026 ADOPT: 10338 AMEND: 10300, 10302, 10305, 10310, 10315, 10317, 10322, 10323, 10325, 10326, 10327, 10330, 10335, 10336, 10337 REPEAL: 10320

02/04/2026 ADOPT: 5101, 5104, 5107, 5108, 5112 AMEND: 5000, 5010 (renumbered to 5001), 5031 (renumbered to 5002), 5032 (renumbered to 5003), 5034 (renumbered to 5004), 5036 (renumbered to 5005), 5050 (renumbered to 5006), 5060 (renumbered to 5007), 5062 (renumbered to 5008), 5063 (renumbered to 5009), 5080 (renumbered to 5010), 5130 (renumbered to 5011), 5140 (renumbered to 5012), 5144 (renumbered to 5013), 5145 (renumbered to 5014), 5146 (renumbered to 5015), 5170 (renumbered to 5100), 5180 (renumbered to 5102), 5210 (renumbered to 5103), 5230 (renumbered to 5105), 5231 (renumbered to 5106), 5240 (renumbered to 5109), 5255 (renumbered to 5110), 5258 (renumbered to 5111)

REPEAL: 5020, 5021, 5022, 5033, 5035, 5039, 5051, 5052, 5053, 5054, 5061, 5064, 5065, 5066, 5081, 5082, 5100, 5101, 5104, 5105, 5106, 5120, 5131, 5132, 5133, 5141, 5142, 5143, 5150, 5151, 5152, 5153, 5154, 5181, 5182, 5183, 5190, 5191, 5192, 5193, 5194, 5200, 5205, 5211, 5212, 5220, 5232, 5233, 5241, 5250, 5251, 5256

02/24/2026 AMEND: 10176, 10177, 10179, 10180, 10181, 10182, 10185, 10190

03/30/2026 AMEND: 10092.1, 10092.7

**Title 05**

01/05/2026 ADOPT: 24003.5 AMEND: 24000, 24001, 24002, 24003, 24004, 24005, 24006, 24007, 24008, 24009

01/14/2026 AMEND: 55524, 55530, 55531

01/21/2026 ADOPT: 4685.5

01/30/2026 ADOPT: 17710.5, 17710.6, 1711.1 AMEND: 17700, 17704, 17709, 17711, 17742, 17777

03/16/2026 AMEND: 19810

03/23/2026 AMEND: 75020, 75030

**Title 08**

01/20/2026 AMEND: 9789.25

**Title 10**

01/02/2026 AMEND: 5550, 5551, 5552, 5553, 5553.1, 5553.2, 5554, 5554.2, 5555

01/08/2026 AMEND: 2498.5

**CALIFORNIA REGULATORY NOTICE REGISTER 2026, VOLUME NUMBER 15–Z**

01/08/2026	AMEND: 2498.6	2123.0.1, 2125.0.1, 2126.0.1, 2127.0.1, 2128.0.1,
01/08/2026	AMEND: 2498.6	2129.0.1, 2130.0.1, 2131.0.1, 2133.0.1, 2137.0.1,
01/20/2026	AMEND: 6520, 6522	2139.0.1, 2140.0.1, 2141.0.1, 2142.0.1, 2143.0.1,
01/27/2026	AMEND: 310.101, 310.106	2144.0.1, 2145.0.1, 2146.0.1, 2147.0.1, 2148.0.1,
01/28/2026	AMEND: 80.4119, 80.5200.1	2149.0.1, 2317.0.1, 2423.0.1, 2485.0.1, 2903.0.1
01/29/2026	AMEND: 1451	95300.0.1, 95301.0.1, 95302.0.1, 95303.0.1,
03/30/2026	AMEND: 3705	95304.0.1, 95305.0.1, 95306.0.1,
		95307.0.1, 95308.0.1, 95309.0.1,
		95310.0.1, 95311.0.1, 95312.0.1, 95660.0.1,
		95661.0.1, 95662.0.1, 95663.0.1, 95664.0.1
<b>Title 11</b>		
01/22/2026	ADOPT: 1022	
02/06/2026	AMEND: 1005, 1007, 1008, 1059	
02/06/2026	ADOPT: 2073, 2074, 2075 AMEND: 2010	95300.0.1, 95301.0.1, 95302.0.1, 95303.0.1,
02/06/2026	ADOPT: 2076, 2077	95304.0.1, 95305.0.1, 95306.0.1, 95307.0.1,
02/17/2026	ADOPT: 1216	95308.0.1, 95309.0.1, 95310.0.1, 95311.0.1,
02/18/2026	ADOPT: 942, 943, 944, 945, 946, 947, 948,	95312.0.1, 95660.0.1, 95661.0.1, 95662.0.1,
	949, 950, 951, 952, 953, 954, 955, 956, 957	95663.0.1, 95664.0.1 AMEND: 1900, 1956.8,
03/03/2026	ADOPT: 999.300, 999.301, 999.302, 999.303,	1961.2, 1961.3, 1962.2, 1962.3, 1965, 1968.2,
	999.304, 999.305, 999.306, 999.307, 999.308,	1968.5, 1969, 1971.1, 1971.5, 1976, 1978,
	999.309, 999.310, 999.311, 999.312, 999.313,	2035, 2036, 2037, 2038, 2040, 2111, 2112,
	999.314	2113, 2114, 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119,
		2121, 2123, 2125, 2126, 2127, 2128, 2129,
		2130, 2131, 2133, 2137, 2139, 2139.5, 2140,
		2141, 2142, 2143, 2144, 2145, 2146, 2147,
		2148, 2149, 2166, 2166.1, 2167, 2168, 2169,
		2169.1, 2169.2, 2169.3, 2169.4, 2169.5, 2169.6,
		2169.7, 2169.8, 2170, 2317, 2423, 2485, 2903
		95300, 95301, 95302, 95303, 95304, 95305,
		95306, 95307, 95308, 95309, 95310, 95311,
		95312, 95660, 95661, 95662, 95663, 95664
<b>Title 12</b>		
03/20/2026	AMEND: 501.2, 505.8, 505.12	
03/24/2026	AMEND: 100, 300, 300.1, 300.6, 302, 302.1,	
	302.2, 302.3, 303, 304, 305.1, 305.2, 309,	
	309.1, 309.2, 309.3, 309.4, 309.5, 309.6, 310,	
	310.1, 310.2, 322, 341, 342, 343, 343.1, 344,	
	344.1, 344.2, 344.3, 344.5, 345, 345.1, 345.2,	
	345.4, 345.5, 345.6, 345.7, 360, 370, 372	
<b>Title 13</b>		
01/02/2026	AMEND: 2477, 2477.5, 2477.12, 2477.17,	
	2477.21, 2477.24	
01/07/2026	AMEND: 599	
02/10/2026	ADOPT: 350.47 AMEND: 350.02, 350.04,	
	350.10, 350.12, 350.16, 350.18, 350.20, 350.22,	
	350.24, 350.28, 350.34, 350.36, 350.38,	
	350.44, 350.48, 350.50, 350.53 REPEAL:	
	350.52, 350.54	
02/10/2026	AMEND: 553, 553.20	
02/17/2026	AMEND: 553.70	
02/20/2026	AMEND: 1239	
03/12/2026	AMEND: 1	
03/27/2026	AMEND: 2262, 2262.5	
<b>Title 13, 17</b>		
03/26/2026	ADOPT: 1900.0.1, 1956.8.1, 1961.2.1,	
	1961.3.1, 1962.2.1, 1962.3.1, 1965.0.1,	
	1968.2.1, 1968.5.1, 1969.0.1, 1971.1.1,	
	1971.5.1, 1976.0.1, 1978.0.1, 2035.0.1,	
	2036.0.1, 2037.0.1, 2038.0.1, 2040.0.1,	
	2111.0.1, 2112.0.1, 2113.0.1, 2114.0.1, 2115.0.1,	
	2116.0.1, 2117.0.1, 2118.0.1, 2119.0.1, 2121.0.1,	
<b>Title 14</b>		
01/02/2026	AMEND: 180.15	
01/06/2026	AMEND: 895, 895.1, 1038, 1038.3, 1038.4,	
	1052.1, 1052.4	
01/16/2026	AMEND: 6552, 6570, 6572, 6573 REPEAL:	
	6571	
01/29/2026	AMEND: 13156(g), 13169(a)	
01/30/2026	ADOPT: 14200, 14201, 14202, 14203, 14204,	
	14205, 14206, 14207, 14208	
02/03/2026	AMEND: 699.5	
02/09/2026	ADOPT: 1546, 1546.1, 1546.2, 1546.3,	
	1546.4, 1546.5, 1546.6, 1546.7, 1546.8	
02/17/2026	AMEND: 150.06, 150.16	
02/19/2026	AMEND: 1.91, 27.25, 27.30, 27.35, 27.40,	
	28.55	
02/23/2026	AMEND: 29.15	
03/04/2026	ADOPT: 31001, 31002, 31003, 31004, 31005,	
	31006, 31007, 31008, 31009, 31010, 31011,	
	31012, 31013, 31014, 31015	
03/04/2026	ADOPT: 17410.5, 17862.3, 17862.4, 17868.6,	
	17896.62 AMEND: 17402, 17409.5.12, 17414,	
	17414.2, 17852, 17854.1, 17862.1, 17868.1,	

**CALIFORNIA REGULATORY NOTICE REGISTER 2026, VOLUME NUMBER 15–Z**

17869, 17869.45, 17896.57, 17896.58, 18302, 18303, 18304, 18304.1, 18993.1

03/10/2026 ADOPT: 3120, 3121, 3122, 3123, 3124, 3125, 3126, 3127, 3128, 3129, 3130, 3131, 3132, 3133, 3134, 3135 AMEND: 2505, 2507

**Title 15**

01/07/2026 AMEND: 8000, 8001 , 8004, 8004.1, 8004.2, 8004.3, 8004.4, 8005, 8006, 8007, 8008, 8100, 8102, 8105, 8106, 8107,8110, 8114, 8116.1,8117, 8118, 8119, 8120, 8121, 8122,8200,8201, 8202 ,8203,8205,8206,8207,8208,8209,8210, 8211, 8212, 8213, 8215, 8298, 8299, Appendix A, Appendix B, 8900, 8901

01/12/2026 AMEND: 3000, 3041.2, 3480, 3481

01/22/2026 ADOPT: 3481, 3482, 3483, 3483.5, 3485 AMEND: 3369.9, 3392, 3392.1, 3392.3, 3392.5, 3392.8, 3392.9, 3450, 3480, 3484, 3486, 3486.1, 3486.2, 3486.3 REPEAL: 3481, 3482, 3483, 3485

01/27/2026 AMEND: 3043.3

02/02/2026 ADOPT: 3040.5 AMEND: 3000, 3375.2

03/17/2026 ADOPT: 3040.5 AMEND: 3000, 3375.2

03/25/2026 AMEND: 3375.2

**Title 16**

01/02/2026 AMEND: 109

01/06/2026 ADOPT: 1399.419.3 AMEND: 1399.460

01/07/2026 AMEND: 363.1

01/08/2026 AMEND: 1399.501, 1399.503, 1399.514, 1399.515, 1399.520, 1399.536, 1399.546, 1399.547, 1399.550, 1399.612

01/12/2026 AMEND: 1399.365

01/12/2026 AMEND: 2036.5, 2090, 2091, 2092, 2093, 2094

02/04/2026 AMEND: 1816, 1816.1, 1816.2, 1816.4

03/24/2026 AMEND: 1396.8

03/26/2026 AMEND: 407, 3005

03/30/2026 AMEND: 109.1

03/30/2026 AMEND: 1388

03/30/2026 AMEND: 3312.1, 3351, 3351.1

**Title 17**

02/19/2026 ADOPT: 6301.6 AMEND: 6301.1, 6301.3, 6301.5

**Title 19**

02/17/2026 AMEND: 1990.00, 1990.01, 1990.02, 1990.04, 1990.05, 1990.06, 1990.08, 1990.09, 1990.10, 1990.11, 1990.12

**Title 20**

01/20/2026 AMEND: 1681, 1684

03/10/2026 ADOPT: Article 3, Appendix D AMEND: 1363.1, 1363.2, 1364, 1366, 1367, 1370, 1371; Appendices A and B, Division 2, Chapter 3, Article 3

**Title 22**

01/21/2026 ADOPT: 66268.36.5, 66268.39.5 AMEND: 66261.3, 66261.30, 66261.32, 66261.33, 66261.100, Appendix VII of chapter 11, Appendix VIII of chapter 11, 66268.36, 66268.40, 66268.48

01/26/2026 AMEND: 97445

03/04/2026 AMEND: 97300, 97314, 97331, 97332, 97340, 97341, 97342, 97344, 97346, 97350, 97351, 97360, 97370

03/06/2026 AMEND: 66260.10, 66261.4

03/13/2026 ADOPT: 100001.01, 100002.01, 100002.02, 100002.03, 100002.04, 100002.05, 100002.06, 100002.07, 100002.08, 100002.09, 100002.10, 100002.11, 100002.12, 100002.13, 100002.14, 100002.15, 100002.16, 100002.17, 100002.18, 100002.19, 100003.01 100004.01, 100005.01, 100006.01, 100007.01, 100007.02, 100007.03, 100007.04, 100007.05

03/16/2026 AMEND: 3271 –1

03/23/2026 AMEND: 69501.1, 69501.2, 69501.5

**Title 23**

01/21/2026 ADOPT: 931, 932, 933, 934, 935, 936, 937, 938, 939, 939.1 AMEND: 931 [renumbered to 939.2], 931.5, 932 [renumbered to 939.3], 933 [renumbered to 939.4], 934 [renumbered to 939.5], 935 [renumbered to 939.6] REPEAL: 936, 937, 938

02/17/2026 ADOPT: 3430, 3431, 3432, 3433, 3434, 3435, 3436, 3437, 3438, 3439, 3440

02/25/2026 ADOPT: 3929.23

**Title 28**

03/18/2026 AMEND: 1300.51, 1300.67.1.3, 1300.67.2

**Title MPP**

03/13/2026 AMEND: 44 –211